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Introduction: The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship between the prevalence of dental anomalies and tooth agenesis.

Materials and Methods: In this retrospective study, the panoramic radiographs of 101 cases with tooth agenesis (except for the third molar) were studied and compared with those of a non-agenesis control group of 182 subjects. The subjects were within the age range of 9-20 years. The prevalence of dental anomalies in the agenesis group was compared with that in the non-agenesis group.

Results: The subjects with hypodontia showed a significantly lower prevalence of dental anomalies. In the group with tooth agenesis, the prevalence of another dental anomaly was lower (P=0.026) with the OR of 1.78. However, there was a higher rate of dental anomalies in the control group. The highest frequencies were observed for the agenesis of mandibular second premolar, then maxillary second premolar, and finally maxillary lateral incisors in this order.

Conclusion: The prevalence of dental anomalies in the agenesis group was relatively low and evenly distributed among genders and jaws. The most commonly affected teeth were the mandibular second premolar, then maxillary second premolar, and lastly maxillary lateral incisors in this order.
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship between the prevalence of dental anomalies and tooth agenesis.

Materials and Methods: In this retrospective study, the panoramic radiographs of 101 cases with tooth agenesis (except for the third molar) were studied and compared with those of a non-agenesis control group of 182 subjects. The subjects were within the age range of 9-20 years. The prevalence of dental anomalies in the agenesis group was compared with that in the non-agenesis group.

Results: The subjects with hypodontia showed a significantly lower prevalence of dental anomalies. In the group with tooth agenesis, the prevalence of another dental anomaly was lower (P=0.026) with the OR of 1.78. However, there was a higher rate of dental anomalies in the control group. The highest frequencies were observed for the agenesis of mandibular second premolar, then maxillary second premolar, and finally maxillary lateral incisors in this order.

Conclusion: The prevalence of dental anomalies in the agenesis group was relatively low and evenly distributed among genders and jaws. The most commonly affected teeth were the mandibular second premolar, then maxillary second premolar, and lastly maxillary lateral incisors in this order.
1. Introduction

Hypodontia refers to the developmental absence of at least one deciduous or permanent tooth, excluding the third molars. Hypodontia is one of the most common human dental developmental anomalies [1, 2]. This anomaly causes not only esthetic and physiologic problems but also malocclusion and functional disorders [3]. The prevalence of hypodontia in the permanent dentition has been estimated between 0.15% and 16.2%, depending on the studied population (excluding the third molars) [2, 4, 5]. Furthermore, females were reported to have a higher prevalence of hypodontia [6]. In the primary dentition, hypodontia is not frequent and reported between 0.1% and 2.4% [7].

Hypodontia can result from environmental or genetic factors [2, 8]. Recent advancement in the investigations regarding the genetics of hypodontia has suggested that specific genes, including PAX9 and MSX1, are associated with this anomaly. These genetic factors can also be related to the delayed dental development of the remaining teeth [9-11].

Some studies have indicated that hypodontia is frequently associated with other dental anomalies, such as peg-shaped lateral incisors, transposition, impaction, taurodontism, ectopic eruption, retained deciduous teeth, and enamel hypoplasia. According to previous studies, the development of permanent teeth in children with dental agenesis was similar to children with normal dental development [12]. However, few studies have investigated whether any relationship exists between hypodontia and dental anomaly [13]. In this regard, there is an inconsistency in the study findings due to differences in the investigated population, gender distribution, and age range of the subjects. Accordingly, this paper aimed to analyze the radiographic findings of the tooth anomalies in patients with hypodontia.

2. Materials and Methods

This causal-comparative study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ardabil Medical University, Iran. This cross-sectional study was a retrospective survey of dental panoramic radiographs of patients referring to the Ardabil radiology centers during 2016-2017.

The study radiographs belonged to 101 subjects whose 1-4 permanent teeth were congenitally absent, except for the third molars. The age range of the subjects was 9-20 years. These subjects were compared to 182 cases in the control group with full dentitions under the same condition. The patients with the history of systemic diseases, any syndromes (i.e. Down syndrome, cleidocranial dysostosis, and ectodermal dysplasia), cleft lip, cleft palate, trauma or fracture of jaws, orthodontic treatment, and the radiographs with low quality were excluded from this study.

Panoramic radiographs were used to investigate the presence of the following anomalies: 1. Microdontia of the maxillary lateral incisors; 2. Retained deciduous molars; 3. Impaction; 4. Supernumerary teeth; 5. Infracclusion of the deciduous molars; 6. Transposition; and 7. Ectopic eruption of unerupted first molars. Panoramic radiographs were assessed, and two investigators examined the records and diagnosed the dental anomalies.

The collected data were analyzed to determine if there was any association between hypodontia and other dental anomalies. Statistical analysis was performed in SPSS (version 16.0; IBM, Armonk, NY). The Chi-squared test was employed to compare the prevalence of dental anomalies associated with tooth agenesis at the significance level of 0.05 (P<0.05). The Odds Ratio (OR) was calculated at 95% Confidence Interval (CI) to assess the strength of the association between tooth agenesis and other dental anomalies. Descriptive statistics and frequency tables were then created for general descriptions of the collected results.

3. Results

Dental panoramic radiographs of 101 subjects (46 males and 55 females) were evaluated carefully. The commonest anomalies in all investigated radiographs were dilacerations, impaction, and retained teeth, in the descending order. Furthermore, 56.6% of teeth agenesis were observed in the maxilla and 43.4% in the mandible. There was no statistical difference between maxilla and mandible with regard to tooth agenesis (P=0.08). The findings revealed that 1 tooth and 4 teeth agenesis were observed in 52.5% and 9.9% of evaluated radiographs, respectively. Table 1 presents the frequency and percentages of the observed anomalies in both groups.

The results of this study showed a significant statistical difference between the groups with and without tooth regarding agenesis (P=0.035) (Table 2). In the group with tooth agenesis, the prevalence of another dental anomaly was lower (P=0.026) with the OR of 1.78. However, there was a higher rate of dental anomalies in the control group.
The highest frequency belonged to the agenesis of mandibular second premolar, then maxillary second premolar, and finally maxillary lateral incisors. The most common anomalies associated with mandibular second premolar and maxillary second premolar were retained teeth and dilacerations, respectively. Furthermore, the most frequently observed anomalies in maxilla and mandible were dilacerations and retained teeth, respectively.

4. Discussion

Previous retrospective studies which investigated tooth agenesis, except for the third molars, reported the prevalence of 20.2% among patients in Ardabil City, Iran [14]. This study aimed to investigate the null hypothesis that there was no association between tooth agenesis and other dental anomalies in children by comparing them with an age- and sex-matched control group. The obtained results of this study did not reveal any significant association between tooth agenesis and other dental anomalies. Therefore, there was no association between the severity of hypodontia and the number of dental anomalies.

In this study, however, the prevalence of teeth anomaly was higher in the control group. The most common dental anomaly associated with hypodontia was retained deciduous molars, affecting 29.5% (n=52) of the total sample (n=176). Likewise, studies conducted by Gomes et al. and Al-Abdullah et al. [15, 16] indicated that the retained deciduous teeth were the most frequent dental anomaly, which affected 30.3% and 29.5% of their hypodontia cases. Furthermore, it was found that retained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomalies</th>
<th>Agenesis</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained teeth</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaction teeth</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectopic eruption</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilaceration</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microdontia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supernumerary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-anomaly</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Dental anomalies in the agenesis and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly/Missing</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within anomaly</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomaly</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within anomaly</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within anomaly</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Cross-tabulation results
deciduous molars were more significantly associated with mandibular hypodontia (60.0%), compared to maxillary hypodontia (9.4%). This finding was expected since the most common agenesis in the mandibular hypodontia group was the second premolar, and the retention of mandibular deciduous second molars was prevalent with a long life expectancy [17, 18].

There was also a report of the relationship between hypodontia and impaction [19, 20]. More specifically, this involves the absence or reduced size of the maxillary lateral incisor. The maxillary canine is guided in eruption by the lateral incisor root. If the lateral incisor root is absent or diminutive, eruption guidance is lost and the canine can impact a palatal position. It has been reported that the absence of the lateral incisor increases the prevalence of maxillary canine impaction. One study reported no difference related to the severity of dental agenesis and prevalence of displaced maxillary canine [21].

The limitations of this study were the small sample size and overlooking the genetic influences on the concomitant occurrence of dental anomalies. Also, the panoramic radiographs were the only diagnostic tools. It is recommended to conduct future genetic research studies in this topic.

5. Conclusion

The prevalence of dental anomalies in the agenesis group was relatively low and evenly distributed among genders and jaws. The most commonly affected teeth were the mandibular second premolar, then maxillary second premolar, and finally maxillary lateral incisors. According to the results of this study, the clinician should be aware of the possibility of associated anomalies in all patients and the accompanying clinical problems. The early recognition and careful management of dental anomalies can prevent prosthodontic or orthodontic treatment complications.
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