Minimum infective dose of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 based on the current evidence: A systematic review

SAGE Open Medicine Volume 10: 1–11 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/20503121221115053 journals.sagepub.com/home/smo

SeyedAhmad SeyedAlinaghi¹, Amirali Karimi², Hengameh Mojdeganlou³, Zahra Pashaei¹, Pegah Mirzapour¹, Ahmadreza Shamsabadi⁴, Alireza Barzegary⁵, Fatemeh Afroughi^{5,6}, Soheil Dehghani², Nazanin Janfaza⁷, Amirata Fakhfouri⁵, Sepideh Khodaei¹, Esmaeil Mehraeen⁸ and Omid Dadras⁹

Abstract

Objective: Understanding the minimum infective dose is significant for risk assessment in the performance of suitable infection control strategies in healthcare centers. However, the literature lacks strong evidence regarding this value for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the minimum infectious dose of coronavirus disease 2019.

Methods: We searched the databases of PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane and retrieved all the relevant literature by 25 July 2021. The records were downloaded into the EndNote software and underwent title/abstract and full-text screenings. A summary of included studies was organized into tables for further analysis, interpretation, and drafting of the results.

Results: Nineteen studies including the laboratory data on human and animal hosts were selected based on the eligibility criteria. All the literature reported on the infective dose, particularly in humans. The main methods for measurement of infection were through tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50) and counting plaque-forming units. The range of minimum infective was $1.26-7 \times 10^{6.25}$ PFU.

Conclusion: In this study, we have presented a range of minimum infective doses in humans and various animal species. Such numbers can possibly vary between the individuals based on numerous demographic, immunologic, or other factors.

Keywords

Coronavirus disease 2019, minimum infective dose, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

Date received: 19 November 2021; accepted: 4 July 2022

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) disease as a global public

¹Iranian Research Center for HIV/AIDS, Iranian Institute for Reduction of High Risk Behaviors, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Pathology, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran ⁴Department of Health Information Technology, Esfarayen Faculty of

Medical Sciences, Esfarayen, Iran

⁵School of Medicine, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

⁶Pars Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁷Internal Medicine Department, Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran health emergency on 30 January 2020.^{1,2} COVID-19 started its devastating trajectory into a global pandemic in Wuhan, China.^{3–5} COVID-19 spread rapidly around the world. Globally, as of 28 March 2022, more than 480 million

⁸Department of Health Information Technology, Khalkhal University of Medical Sciences, Khalkhal, Iran

⁹Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

*Omid Dadras is now affiliated with Section Global Health and Rehabilitation, Western Norway University and Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway.

Corresponding author:

Esmaeil Mehraeen, Department of Health Information Technology, Khalkhal University of Medical Sciences, Khalkhal 5681761351, Iran. Email: es.mehraeen@gmail.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). confirmed cases and 6.1 million deaths due to COVID-19 were reported to the WHO.⁶ Most patients with COVID-19 are asymptomatic or show mild symptoms such as fever, upper respiratory tract symptoms, shortness of breath, and diarrhea, but the more severe cases can lead to pneumonia, multiple organ failure, and death.⁷

The newly emerged virus that caused the COVID-19 was named as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) on 11 February 2020.⁸ Coronaviruses are a large family of single-stranded RNA viruses that infect animals and humans and cause respiratory, gastrointestinal, liver, and neurological diseases.

Coronaviruses are further divided into four genera: alphacoronavirus, beta-coronavirus, gamma-coronavirus, and delta-coronavirus.⁹

There were six most identified coronaviruses before 2019 that affected the humans: (1) human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E), (2) human coronavirus OC43 (HCoV-OC43), (3) severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), (4) human coronavirus NL63 (HCoV-NL63), (5) human coronavirus HKU1 (HCoV-HKU1), and (6) Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV).

The first four are recognized as endemic (that is, these are associated with mild and self-limiting diseases), the last two cases lead to severe illness and death. The seventh strain of the corona virus is SARS-CoV-2, also called COVID-19. This strain causes severe disease and respiratory infections in humans and is widespread.¹⁰

The SARS-CoV-2 genome has great sequence similarity (89%-96.3%) with two bat coronaviruses, bat-SLCoVZC45 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21, and 79%-82% with that of human SARS-CoV. The genome consists of 14 kilo bases that encode 27 proteins. The 5' terminus encoding for 15 nonstructural proteins collectively involved in virus replication and possibly in immune evasion. The 3' terminus of the genome encodes for structural and accessory proteins.¹¹ Dose means the number of particles to cause a detectable infection. For understanding viral pathogenicity, determining the number of particles that trigger infection is crucial. Low infectious doses mean that the organism is highly contagious from person to person through contact with infected surfaces. In a US study, isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from a sample of the oropharynx and nasopharynx, one patient and inoculation into Vero cells showed that SARS-CoV-2 proliferated rapidly, reaching 10⁵ TCID₅₀/mL within 24 h.¹²

SARS-CoV-2 infection requires a minimal dose of infection because lower doses can be safe. The minimum infectious dose indicates how much virus has entered the body and caused the infection. To determine the pattern of transmission, we need the minimum infectious dose of the virus. Given the importance and necessity of the topic, we aimed to review the literature on the minimum infective dose of SARS-CoV-2 to identify what was the lowest range of SARS-CoV-2 dose that caused the COVID-19 in the related studies.

Methods

This review study was designed to encompass all the evidence related to the infective dose of COVID-19 that has been published by 25 July 2021. The authors aimed to investigate the minimum infective dose of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) and to ensure the reliability and validity of the results, the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) checklist was applied (Supplemental Material 1).

Data sources

The relevant literature was retrieved by a systematic search of the keywords on the online databases comprising PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and Cochrane, we extracted all pertinent records published from December 2019 to 25 July 2021. The search strategy contemplated numerous keyword combinations that were identified through the MeSH (medical subject headings) database or previous articles. All the search strategies were recorded in the Supplemental Material 2, but the final search for PubMed is presented below in the query [C] (all the keywords were searched as title/abstract):

A. "COVID-19" OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR "SARS-CoV2" OR "2019-nCoV" OR "Novel Coronavirus."

B. "Infective dose" OR "Infectious dose" OR "Minimum infective dose" OR "Minimum infectious dose" OR "Minimal infective dose" OR "minimum viral load" OR "minimum infectious viral load" OR "minimum infective level" OR "minimum infectious level" OR "Tissue culture infectious dose" OR "Plaque forming unit."

C. [A] AND [B].

Study selection

Three independent researchers screened the retrieved studies and selected that serve the objectives of the present review by titles and abstracts. Later, the full texts of these articles were inspected carefully and based on the eligibility criteria, the most relevant studies were included in the qualitative synthesis. We included the original studies (including laboratory, animal, and human studied) that assessed the COVID-19 minimum infective dose. We also included the pre-prints as the number of studies on this matter is limited.

As part of the eligibility protocol, we deemed the exclusion criteria as below:

Non-original studies, including review articles and meta-analyses.

Publications with inaccessible full texts, conference abstracts, and abstract articles.

Ongoing clinical trials that lack published results.

Suspicion of data duplication, for example, two studies reporting the results of a single research

Data extraction

A summary of findings and minimum infective dose of COVID-19 were prepared and included in two tables by five researchers. The first table describes the characteristics of the studies and participants including first author information, type of study (e.g. experimental), publication year, country of origin, study population, age and gender, signs and symptoms, comorbidities, and laboratory data. The second table addresses host type, sampling site and method, mode of transmission, minimum infective dose, symptomatic cases (%), and clinical outcomes (%). Ultimately, other co-authors double-checked each of the selected publications to avoid potential duplications or overlaps, if any exist in the results.

Quality/risk of bias assessment

We used the Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS) to assess the quality of the included studies.¹³ A maximum score of 9 will be allocated to the studies based on three categories of selection, comparability, and exposure/outcome.

Statistical analysis

As this study is a systematic review and not a meta-analysis, we did not aim to conduct any statistical analysis.

Results

Following a systematic search on online databases, 439 total records were identified. After duplicate removal and title/ abstract screening, 298 and 77 of them remained, respectively. Finally, 19 eligible studies including human and animal subjects were included to assess the minimum infective dose, route of transmission, signs and symptoms, and outcomes of COVID-19 infection (Figure 1). Studies were conducted in six countries. Most of the studies were from the United States (n=8), followed by China (n=6) and Canada (n=2). The majority of studies were experimental (n=13), and some of them were human studies (n=6). Experimental studies were conducted on golden Syrian hamsters (n=5), mice (n=3), African green monkeys (n=3), Rhesus macaques (n=1), and ferrets (n=1) (Table 1).

The main methods for reporting the infective dose were through tissue culture infectious dose (TCID_{50}) and by counting plaque-forming units (PFU).³³

In TCID₅₀, the viral dose in 5% of inoculated tissue culture made pathological changes or cell death. PFU is estimated of viral concentration in plaque-forming units by measuring the number of particles that form a plaque.³⁴ The minimum infective doses have been summarized in Table 2.

Human studies on infective dose of SARS-CoV-2

We found no experimental studies that assess the infective dose in human, so we included observational human studies. The minimum infective dose of SARS-CoV-2 causing COVID-19 in humans in assessed cross-sectional and case-series studies was low; in a case-series study that investigated infective dose in 273 specimens from 15 SARS-CoV-2-positive patients, detected minimum infective dose was 1.26 PFU in vitro in the COVID-19-RdRp/ Hel assay.¹ In another study, 248 oro-nasopharyngeal samples of COVID-19 individuals were assessed, and infective dose was reported to be 364 PFU.² In a case-series study which assessed 97 children 10 years and lower, 78 children aged 11-17 years, and 130 adults, the infective dose in 11-17 years children was lower than two other groups (125 PFU). Children had lower live virus growth, higher cycle thresholds, and lower viral concentration in comparison with adults, so children are not the main carriers of infection. Children aged ≤10 years were more likely to be asymptomatic than others.³

Animal studies

Tables 1 and 2 summarize experimental studies of different animals on SARS-CoV-2.

Ferret. Intranasal inoculation of SARS-CoV-2 virus in three level of low (500 PFU), medium (50,000 PFU), and high (5,000,000 PFU) caused symptoms in 72% of ferrets.²⁷ In all ferrets of medium and high group (n=12; 66%) that were infected at a dose of 50 000 PFU, and 5,000,000 PFU, respectively, mild multifocal bronchopneumonia was observed. Also, in medium and high groups and one ferret of low group, viral RNA shedding in the upper respiratory tract was observed. At the end of study, all of the ferrets were alive.

Mice. A study on 23 transgenic mice after aerosol inoculation at a dose of 630 PFU of SARS-CoV-2 showed weight loss and 43.5% (n=10) of them were infected based on serological analyses.¹⁵ Another study on 34 transgenic mice after infection at a dose of 70,000 PFU by intranasal route showed weight loss, interstitial pneumonia, and virus replication in the lungs.¹⁴ In K18-hACE2 mice infected by the intraperitoneal injection at a dose of 10,000 PFU of SARS-CoV-2, 75% body weight loss, lethargy, ruffled fur, hunched posture, and labored breathing. Also, at the end of study, the mice died. In Ad-hACE2 Mice, infected with same route and dose, viral titers at the day 2 post-infection were seen in the lungs.²⁵

African green monkey. All six African green monkeys exposed to 280,000 PFU by the intranasal route had pulmonary consolidation with hyperemia and hemorrhage. Three of them had multifocal neutrophilicbroncho-interstitial pneumonia.



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of the study selection process.

Also, declined appetite, increased temperature, hypercapnia, gas distinction of small intestines showed in three of them.²⁰ African green monkeys inoculated by combined intrathecal and intranasal routes at a dose of 5.0×105 PFU showed decreased appetite, fever, hyperemia multifocal lesions of each lobe, mild lymphoid enlargement, pulmonary edema and pulmonary hemorrhage, pneumonia, and the small intestine was somewhat loose and dilated with gas and yellow fluid.³¹ At a dose of 38,400 PFU, they showed fever, lung opacity, and mild hypoxia.²³

Rhesus macaques. After airborne inoculation at a dose of 38,400 PFU macaques showed inflammatory responses like lymphocyte and neutrophil fluctuations, fever, erythema

around the eyes, and rectal bleeding.²³ Exposure to higher dose leads to moderate pulmonary symptoms in a group of rhesus macaques infected at a dose of 700,000 PFU by combined intranasal, intrathecal, and conjunctivally routs.²¹

Cynomolgus macaques. All cynomolgus macaques infected with SARS-CoV-2 at a dose of 38,400 PFU via airborne presented fever, mild hypoxia, rectal bleeding, and lung opacity.²³

Hamsters. In two groups of hamsters infected with SARS-CoV-2 by intranasal routes at a dose of 700 PFU and 70,000 PFU, respectively, weight loss was shown.^{22,26} Also, higher dose–infected hamsters presented lung injury, and morbidity,

Ta	ble I. Characté	eristics of the st	udies and p	articipants.					
₽	First author (reference)	Type of study	Publication year	Country	Study population	Age	Gender (%)	Sign and symptoms	Laboratory data
-	Bao et al. ¹⁴	Experimental (animal study)	2020	China	34 transgenic mice	6–11 months old	Male/female	Weight loss, interstitial pneumonia, and virus replication in the lungs	N/A
7	Bao et al. ¹⁵	Experimental (animal study)	2020	China	23 transgenic mice	4-6 months old	Male/female	Weight loss	Based on serological analyses, 10 out of 23 mice were infected after direct contact and respiratory droplets exposure
Υ	Basu ¹⁶	Cross-sectional	2021	NSA	2 SARS-CoV-2 positive	49 (37 and 61 years old)	Female (100)	N/A	N/A
4	Bullard et al. ¹⁷	Cross-sectional	2021	Canada	90 SARS-CoV-2- positive samples	45	Male (49) Female (51)	N/A	N/A
Ч	Bullard et al. ¹⁸	Case series	2021	Canada	305 COVID-19 patients (97 children aged ≤ 10 years, 78 children aged 11-17 years, 130 adults)	N/A	NIA	N/A	Culture growth in 31% of samples was seen; 19% in young children, 23% in older children, and 44% in adults
9	Chan et al. ¹⁹	Case series	2020	China	273 Specimens from 15 SARS-CoV-2- positive patients	A/A	N/A	N/A	28.2% were COVID-19-RdRp/Hel and RdRp-P2 positive. All of them were positive for COVID-19-RdRp/Hel assay
~	Cross et al. ²⁰	Experimental (animal study)	2020	USA	6 African green monkeys	N/A	N/A	Declined appetite, increased temperature, hypercapnia (3/6), gas distinction of small intestines. All monkeys had pulmonary consolidation with hyperemia and hemorrhage. Three of them had multifocal neutrophilicbroncho- interstitial pneumonia	Lymphocytopenia (5/6), thrombocytopenia (3/6 animals), granulocytosis (6/6), mild increase in ALT (2/6), a moderate increase in CRP (5/6), prolonged aPTT (6/6). SARS-CoV-2 vRNA was detected in the nasal swabs of all animals
ω	Deng et al. ²¹	Experimental (animal study)	2020	China	5 Rhesus macaques	3-5years old	Male (100)	Opaque glass signs, obscure lung marking, severe progressive pulmonary infiltration, patchy lesions, increased density, and interstitial pneumonia appeared in the lungs	Viral load detected in nasal and throat swabs of CJ- and IT-inoculated animals from 1 to 6 days' post-inoculation. Only in CJ-inoculated animals, viral load detected in conjunctival swabs and only in IT-inoculated animals is viral load detected in anal swabs
6	Dhakal et al. ²²	Experimental (animal study)	2021	NSA	Golden Syrian hamsters	8–10 week	Male/female	Bodyweight loss, lung injury	Females had two-fold greater IgM, IgG, and IgA responses and significantly greater IgG antibodies against the virus
0	Johnston et al. ²³	Experimental (animal study)	2021	NSA	 anaive African green monkeys 4rhesus Macaques 4cynomolgus macaques 	N/A	N/A	Fever, lung opacity, mild hypoxia and erythema around the eyes, rectal bleeding	Lymphocyte and neutrophil fluctuations were noted for most animals on the study and were likely a result of the inflammatory response to infection
Ξ	Kumar et al. ²⁴	Experimental (animal study)	2021	NSA	Male Syrian golden hamsters	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

(Continued)

Ta	ble I. (Continu	(pər							
₽	First author (reference)	Type of study	Publication year	Country	Study population	Age	Gender (%)	Sign and symptoms	Laboratory data
12	Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁵	Experimental (animal study)	2020	USA	2 hACE2 mice	6 weeks old	Female (100)	In K18-hACE2 mice: 75% body weight loss, lethargy, ruffled fur, hunched posture, and labored breathing	Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁵ In K18-hACE2 mice: viral replication in the spleen and the lungs. Viral titers in the lung were 2–3 log higher than Ad- HACE2 mice
13	Rosenke et al. ²⁶	Experimental (animal study)	2020	USA	24 Syrian hamsters	4-6 weeks old and >27 weeks old	Male/female	Moderate broncho-interstitial pneumonia, along with high viral load in lungs and extensive virus shedding. Minor changes in respiratory pattern, lung lesions, loss of $< 10\%$ body weight	In oral and rectal swabs high levels of viral gRNA were detected. On day 11 after infection, all oral swabs were positive and suggested that viral replication in upper respiratory areas was still ongoing
4	Ryan et al. ²⁷	Experimental (animal study)	2021	N	18 ferrets (three groups, every 6 ferrets)	A/A	Male/Female	In all ferrets of medium and high group: mild multifocal bronchopneumonia	In all ferrets of the medium and high group and one ferret of low group: Viral RNA shedding in the upper respiratory tract
15	Sia et al. ²⁸	Experimental (animal study)	2020	China	Golden hamsters	4–5 weeks	Male (100)	Pneumocyte hyperplasia and weight loss	N/A
16	Song et al. ²⁹	Experimental (animal study)	2021	China	18 hamsters	l 2 weeks	Male/female	N/A	N/A
2	Van der Moeren et al. ³⁰	Cross-sectional	1 202	Netherland	248 (74 qRT-PCR positives, 174 qRT- PCR negatives) clinical combined oro-nasopharyngeal samples of individuals with COVID-19-like symptoms	NA	NA	Rhinitis, cough, elevated temperature, shortness of breath, or sudden loss of sense of taste or smell	DAA result: positive = 54 (Ct-value 12.2-27.7), negative = 20 (Ct-value 22.6-39.5)
<u>8</u>	Woolsey et al. ³¹	Experimental (animal study)	2020	R	6 adult African green monkeys	NIA	NA	Decreased appetite, fever, and hyperemia multifocal lesions of each lobe. The small intestine was somewhat loose and dilated with gas and yellow fluid. Mild lymphoid enlargement. Pulmonary edema and pulmonary hemorrhage. Pneumonia.	NA
61	Yamayoshi et al. ³²	Case series	2020	Japan	SARS-CoV-2-positive samples	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAR activ	S-CoV-2: severe acu ated partial thromb	ute respiratory synd oplastin time; CJ: cc	rome coronavi njunctivally; IT	irus 2; COVID- T: intratracheally	.19: coronavirus disease 2019; q y.	RT-PCR: quantitative	e real-time polyme	srase chain reaction; ALT: alanine aminotr:	ansferase; CRP: C-reactive protein; aPTT:

D Equation Enclose the control from the contro from the control from the control from the contthe con	Tal	ble 2. Minimum inf	ective dose of the s	subjects and related details of the stu	dies.			
	₽	First author (reference)	Host	Sampling site and method	Mode of transmission	Minimum infective dose	Symptomatic cases (%)	Clinical outcome (%)
	-	Bao et al. ¹⁴	tgMice	RT-qPCR, heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, brain, intestine, and testis	∠	70,000 PFU	ε	All were alive at the end of the study
	2	Bao et al. ¹⁵	hACE2 mice	Throat and anal swab	Aerosol	630 PFU	39	All were alive at the end of the study
	m	Basu ¹⁶	Human	Nasopharyngeal swab. Computed tomography	Droplet	330 PFU	001	Both of them were alive
5 Bullerd et al. ¹¹ Human GT/CR RT/CR RT/CR Nacy Plany geal (Newar = 32) RT/CR Text Children in Klower hind (new rung growth, RT/CR 6 Chan et al. ¹¹ Human RT/CR Refer (1 - Criter) Ciperar = 22) RT/CR Ciperar = 22) RT/CR Ciperar = 20 RT/CR	4	Bullard et al. ¹⁷	Human	RT-PCR Nasopharyngeal (NP) or endotracheal	Tissue culture	197 PFU	28.9	N/A
5 Bulked et al. ¹¹ Human RT-RC R RT-RC Mode RT-RC F RT-RC Mode RT-RC F				(ETT)				
For the set of the s	S	Bullard et al. ¹⁸	Human	RT-PCR Nasopharyngeal swabs	N/A	Children aged ≤ 10years = 221 PFL J	75.4	All were alive at the end of the study. Children had lower live virus growth, hisher cycle thresholds and lower viral
6 Chan et al." Human RT-pCR No. 1.26 FU in virce in the investigation of the investing investigation of the inve						Children 11– 17 years = 125 PFU Adults = 820 PFU		concentration in comparison with adults, so concentration in comparison with adults, so children are not the main carriers of infection. Children aged ≤ 10 years were more likely to be asymptomatic than others
7 Cross et al. ³⁰ monkey Affend regenen monkey assay swebs assay and swebs Name (and antuccis) and antuccis) and and monkey as prunt monkey Name (and antuccis) Manual and swebs Manual and swebs Manual and swebs Name (and antuccis) Manual and and and and and and and and and and	9	Chan et al. ¹⁹	Human	RT-qPCR Nasopharyngeal aspirate/swabs, throat	N/A	1.26 PFU in vitro in the COVID-19-RdRp/HeI	N/A	A/N
/ Cross et al. ²⁴ Affaire Biood and muccasi swalss N 2400,000 PU 100 All alive. All of them are infocred via CJ and TT 8 Deng et al. ²¹ Riversu macaques Nasel, throat. conjunctioal, and and CJ, T, IG 700,000 PU 100 All alive. All of them are infocred via CJ and TT 9 Dhalal et al. ²¹ Golden Syrian Biood, mast turbinate, traches, and N 70,000 PU 100 All alive. All of them are infocred via CJ and TT 10 Johnston et al. ²³ Golden Syrian Biood, mast turbinate, traches, and N 70,000 PU 100 All alive. All of them are infocred via CJ and TT 10 Johnston et al. ²³ Golden Syrian Biood, mast turbinate, traches, and and CCT. N 70,000 PU 100 All alive. All of them are infocred via CJ and TT 11 Rumater al. ²⁴ Minker Strin acjdien N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 12 Rumater al. ²⁴ Strin acjdien N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 13 Rumater al. ²⁴ N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁶	ı			swab, a sputum specimen		assay		:
8 Deng et al. ²¹ Ruest macaques Nasul, throat, conjunctival, and and swals CJ, T, IG 700.00 FU 100 All live, All inter altre arc the and of the study. Male was swals 9 Dhakal et al. ²¹ Golden Syrian Bood, nasul turbinate, trachea, and in stores N 70,000 FU 100 All were altre at the end of the study. Male experienced greater mobility, greater body mass loss, more extensive perumonia, and d-GCR 10 Johnston et al. ²³ Monkey etT-CRR Airboure 38,400 FU 100 All were altre at the end of the study. Male experienced greater mobility, greater body mass loss, more extensive perumonia, and d-GCR 11 Kumar et al. ²⁴ Syrian golden N/A 100 N/A 12 Rathmasingle et al. ²⁴ Syrian golden N/A 100 N/A 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁴ Syrian golden N/A 100 N/A 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁴ Hansters Group FU 100 N/A 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁴ Hansters Group FU 100 N/A 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁴ Hansters Group FU 100	~	Cross et al. ²⁰	African green monkey	Blood and mucosal swabs	Z	2,800,000 PFU	001	All alive
9 Dhakal et al. ¹² Golden Syrian Blood nasal turbinate, trachea, and Investories. Antibome ELSA, computed comography (CT), and qPCR No Public results, mass loss, more extensive preimonia, and resolvery slower than females. 10 Johnston et al. ² Mokey Results RPL 100 All were alive at the end of the study. Mass and qPCR All were alive at the end of the study. Mass loss, more extensive preimonia, and resolvery slower than females. 10 Johnston et al. ² Mokey Results RPL 100 Mass loss, more extensive preimonia, and resolvery slower than females. 11 Kumar et al. ²⁴ Syrian golden macques N/A N/A N/A 12 Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁴ Mise RT-PCR: N N N/A 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁴ Mise RT-PCR: N N/A N/A 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁴ Hinsters RT-PCR: N N/A N/A 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁴ Hinsters N/A N/A N/A 14 Ryan et al. ²⁴ Hinsters N N/A M/A 14 Ryan et al. ²⁴ Hinsters N N/A M/A	œ	Deng et al. ²¹	Rhesus macaques	Nasal, throat, conjunctival, and anal swabs	CJ, IT, IG	700,000 PFU	100	All alive. All of them are infected via CJ and IT routes but not the IG route.
[0] ohnston et al.28 Monkey RT-PCR Airborne 34.00 FU [0] MA Hauss Cropharyngael (OP) and Airborne 34.00 FU [0] MA Hauss Cyronologus Cyronologus Cyronologus Cyronologus Cyronologus Hauss Cyronologus Cyronologus (OP) and rectal swabs Cyronologus Matter S and PA Hauss Cyronologus (OP) and rectal swabs Cyronologus (OP) and rectal swabs Cyronologus (OP) and rectal swabs Cyronologus (OP) and Rater S and PA Hauss Cyronologus (OP) and Rater S and PA Hauss (OP) and Rater S and PA Hauss (OP) (OP) (OP) (OP) (OP) (OP) (OP) (OP)	6	Dhakal et al. ²²	Golden Syrian hamsters	Blood, nasal turbinate, trachea, and lung samples. Antibody and cytokine ELISA, computed tomography (CT), and qPCR	Z	70,000 PFU	001	All were alive at the end of the study. Male experienced greater morbidity, greater body mass loss, more extensive pneumonia, and recovery slower than females
I1Macaques hamstersMacaques hamstersN/AINIo.000.00 PFUION/AI2Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁶ Syrian goldenN/AINIo.000 PFU50N/AN/AI3Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁶ MiceLung samplesInjectionIo.000 PFU50In K18-hACE2 mice: deadI3Rosenke et al. ²⁶ HamstersRT-PCR:IN700 PFU10All were alive at the end of the studyI4Ryan et al. ²⁷ FerretsRT-PCR:INZo0 PFU72All were alive at the end of the studyI5Sia et al. ²⁹ HamsterRT-PCR:NoNoHenter72All were alive at the end of the studyI5Sia et al. ²⁹ HamsterRT-PCR:Aerosols $7 \times 10^{6.25}$ PFU50NIAI5Sia et al. ²⁹ HamsterRT-PCR:Aerosols $7 \times 10^{6.25}$ PFU50NIA	0	Johnston et al ²³	Monkey Rhesus Macaques Cynomolgus	qRT-PCR Oropharyngeal (OP) and nasopharyngeal (NP), and rectal swabs	Airborne	38,400 PFU	001	NA
II Kumar et al. ²⁴ Syrian golden N/A IN I0,000,000 PLU I00 N/A 12 Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁵ Mice qT -PCR: Injection 10,000 PFU 50 In K18-hACE2 mice: dead 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁶ Hamsters qT -PCR: IN 700 PFU 50 In K18-hACE2 mice: dead 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁶ Hamsters qT -PCR: IN 700 PFU 100 All were alive at the end of the study 14 Ryan et al. ²⁷ Ferrets RT-qPCR: IN 700 PFU 72 All were alive at the end of the study 14 Ryan et al. ²⁷ Ferrets RT-qPCR: IN Low: 500 PFU 72 All were alive at the end of the study 15 Sia et al. ²⁸ Hamster RT-qPCR: N Medium: 50,000 PFU 72 All were alive at the end of the study 16 Sia et al. ²⁸ Hamster RT-qPCR: N Name NA 17 Sia et al. ²⁸ Hamster RT-PCR: Aerosols $7 \times 10^{6.25}$ PU N/A 16 Sia et al. ²⁸ Hamster RT	2		macaques					
12 Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁵ Mice qRT-PCR: Injection 10,000 PU 50 In K18-hACE2 mice: dead 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁶ Hamsters qRT-PCR: IN 700 PFU 100 All were alive at the end of the study 13 Rosenke et al. ²⁶ Hamsters qRT-PCR: IN 700 PFU 100 All were alive at the end of the study 14 Ryan et al. ²⁷ Ferrets RT-qPCR: IN Low: 500 PFU 72 All were alive at the end of the study 14 Ryan et al. ²⁷ Ferrets RT-qPCR: IN Medium: 50,000 PFU 72 All were alive at the end of the study 15 Sia et al. ²⁸ Hamster RT-PCR: Aerosols 7×10 ^{6.25} PLU 50 NIA 15 Sia et al. ²⁸ Hamster RT-PCR: Aerosols 7×10 ^{6.25} PLU 50 NIA 16 Nasopharyngeal aspirate and throat study Y<10 ^{6.25} PLU 50 NIA NIA	=	Kumar et al. ²⁴	Syrian golden hamsters	N/A	Z	10,000,000 PFU	001	NA
 Rosenke et al.²⁶ Hamsters qr.⁷-PCR. R. Pool samples and oral and rectal Blood samples and oral and rectal swabs R. All were alive at the end of the study swabs R. All were alive at the end of the study blood samples and rectal swabs R. All were alive at the end of the study metal swabs R. All were alive at the end of the study blood samples and rectal swabs R. All were alive at the end of the study blood samples and rectal swabs R. All were alive at the end of the study blood samples are alive at the end of the study blood samples are alive at the end of the study blood samples are alive at the end of the study blood samples are and throat state and throat state and throat swab 	12	Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁵	Mice	qRT-PCR: Lung samples	Injection	10,000 PFU	50	In K18-hACE2 mice: dead
 I4 Ryan et al.²⁷ Ferrets RT-qPCR: IN Low: 500 FU 72 All were alive at the end of the study Nasal washes, throat, and rectal swabs Medium: 50,000 FU High: 5,000,000 FU 90,000 FU 90,000 PU 90,0	13	Rosenke et al. ²⁶	Hamsters	qRT-PCR: Blood samples and oral and rectal swabs	Z	700 PFU	100	All were alive at the end of the study
15 Sia et al. ²⁸ Hamster RT-PCR: Aerosols 7×10 ^{6.25} PFU 50 N/A Nasopharyngeal aspirate and throat swab	4	Ryan et al. ²⁷	Ferrets	RT-qPCR: Nasal washes, throat, and rectal swabs	Z	Low: 500 PFU Medium: 50,000 PFU High: 5,000,000 PFU	72	All were alive at the end of the study
	15	Sia et al. ²⁸	Hamster	RT-PCR: Nasopharyngeal aspirate and throat swab	Aerosols	7 × 10 ^{6.25} PFU	50	N/A

(Continued)

	First author (reference)	Host	Sampling site and method	Mode of transmission	Minimum infective dose	Symptomatic cases (%)	Clinical outcome (%)
16	Song et al. ²⁹	Hamster	qRT-PCR: Throat swabs	Ζ	700,000 PFU	SARS-CoV-2-infected but not mock-infected animals exhibited progressively body weight loss from 1 to 9 dpi. The infected animals exhibited severe weight loss at 5 days dpi (8.91%), which peaked at 9 dpi (18.02%), then gradually regained their weight bv 1 d doi (5.04%)	Alveolar damage, involvement of the spleen, lymph nodes, different segments of the alimentary tract, kidney, adrenal gland, ovary, vesicular gland and prostate damage, gallbladder, myocardium, and lymph nodes. All the infected hamsters displayed severe systemic inflammatory responses
17	Van der Moeren et al. ³⁰	Human	qRT-PCR: Combined oropharyngeal and nasonharvneaal florked swah	N/A	364 PFU	29.9	N/A
8	Woolsey et al. ³¹	Monkey	RT-qPCR mucosal swabs: BAL whole blood or plaque titration of plasma	IN, IT	500,000 PFU	N/A	NA
6	Yamayoshi et al. ³²	Human calf	PCR (RT-qPCR): Nasal vestibule swab, nasopharyngeal, tracheal aspirate	A/A	75-7500 PFU	NA	Alveolar damage, involvement of the spleen, lymph nodes, different segments of the alimentary tract, kidney, adrenal gland, ovary, vesicular gland and prostate damage, gallbladder, myocardium, and lymph nodes. All the infected hamsters displayed severe systemic inflammatory responses

11 7 peritoneal; CJ: conjunctivally; NR: not reported; DAA: Diasorin SARS-CoV-2 antigen detection assay; BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage.

Table 2. (Continued)

First author	Selection (out of 4)	Comparability (out of 2)	Exposure/outcome (out of 3)	Total (out of 9)
Bao et al. ¹⁴	***	-	***	6
Bao et al. ¹⁵	***	-	***	6
Basu ¹⁶	****	*	***	8
Bullard et al. ¹⁷	****	*	***	8
Bullard et al. ¹⁸	***	*	***	7
Chan et al. ¹⁹	***	*	***	7
Cross et al. ²⁰	***	-	**	5
Deng et al. ²¹	****	-	***	7
Dhakal et al. ²²	***	-	***	6
Johnston et al. ²³	***	-	***	6
Kumar et al. ²⁴	****	-	**	6
Rathnasinghe et al. ²⁵	***	-	***	6
Rosenke et al. ²⁶	***	-	***	6
Ryan et al. ²⁷	****	-	**	6
Sia et al. ²⁸	***	-	***	6
Song et al. ²⁹	***	-	***	6
Van der Moeren et al. ³⁰	***	*	**	6
Woolsey et al. ³¹	***	-	***	6
Yamayoshi et al. ³²	***	*	**	6

 Table 3. Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS) quality assessment of the study.

body mass loss, and pneumonia were more severe in male hamsters.²² All of Syrian golden hamsters infected via intranasal route at a high dose of 10,000,000 PFU showed clinical presentation.²⁴

The risk of bias assessment also demonstrated an acceptable quality (score of 5 or more) for all the included studies (Table 3).

Discussion

SARS-CoV-2, a lately found coronavirus accountable for COVID-19, was first reported in China in late 2019 and then extends rapidly worldwide.35 In this systematic review, we have aimed to discover the average minimum infective dose of SARS-CoV-2. The range of minimum infective was found to be $1.26-7 \times 10^{6.25}$ PFU. Many of the findings that illustrate the reaction of the immune system against the virus have been experimented on animals such as mice, and the studies on minimum infective dose yield consistent results.^{36,37} It is vital to study the factors affecting transmissibility and mortality of the COVID-19 to curb the current pandemic, in order to predict the virus behavior in the host body and inform the preventive measure to adjust accordingly, it is essential that we find the average minimum infective dose of SARS-CoV-2.12 Although, the infective dose differs significantly by virus and the way of administration, for coronavirus mostly hundreds or even more virus particles are needed to begin an infection.¹² Due to the rapid spread of the COVID-19 across the world, there are now more infected cases. Many people have recovered from this viral infection; however, more apprehension of virus biological behaviors is needed to control the virus.³⁸

One of the factors that determine the spread of the virus is its transmissibility. Finding the minimum infective dose of the virus is one of the steps which bring us closer to measuring the virus transmission power. To our knowledge, minimum infective dose is required to start an infection, because very low dosages of virus can be harmless. To substantiate this theory, the study by Bao et al.¹⁵ showed that SARS-CoV-2 can be experimentally spread among hACE2 mice by close contact or through respiratory droplets, but it is hardly transmitted through aerosol inoculation. This could be justified by the amount of virus in the aerosol, which is less than droplets, in some cases is not even enough to cause infection; hence, a cut-off point for causing an infection known as minimum infective dose for the virus is a very useful indicator for virus infectivity in different exposure occasions. According to Basu,16 based on a new computational strategy to quantify the infectious dose, it is estimated that the particles needed to infect humans is possibly in the order of hundreds.

A minimum infective dose indicates the amount of the virus that causes infection and reflects on the probability of infection. In line with this hypothesis, Ryan et al. show that there is a relationship between the viral load and the severity of the disease. These findings suggest that the low dose of the virus could only cause mild infection, and even in five of six ferrets did not cause infection.²⁷ Another method that indicated the number of virus titers is TCID50/mL, particularly it measures the amount of virus needed to kill 50% of tissue culture cells. Bullard et al. stated that the cycle threshold value was highly prognostic of culture positivity. As opposed to this statement, symptoms to test time were not capable of discriminating between cases with positive and

negative cultures.¹⁷ This dose-response relationship between the mild and high viral dose group could reflect the relationship between minimum infective dose and disease severity.

Finding the minimum infective dose of the virus can be extremely useful in determining the transmission pattern. This represents itself in inconsistent results across the included studies; similar viral load did not cause the same outcome. This indicates that despite having a similar minimum infective dose, the infection rate could differ so this minimum is not the same across the same population. On the other hand, there are some human studies which have shown some hypothetical infective viral dosages. One of the most well discussed one is the study done by Basu et al., the main goal of which was to evaluate the size of the droplets which have high probability of causing infection. But besides this finding, they also had some points related to the viral load which can cause the infection. They found that the number of virions placing at a closely situated individual's nasopharynx over the 2.5 h duration approximates to (11/5)virions per minute $\times 60 \min \times 2.5 h = 330$. They also mentioned some related past studies done on ferrets (which showed 500 as the lower tested limit for the number of virions needed to launch an infection) and on humans but with SARS-CoV (the SARS-CoV dose that correlated to 10% and 50% adverse responses (i.e. illness) was estimated, respectively at 43 and 280 PFU).¹⁶

This controversial point should be discussed in further studies, to find whether there can be a period of minimum infective dose or not, so the idea of minimum infective dose would be useful in determining the transmission of the disease.

This study has several limitations. First, we did not aim to conduct statistical analysis as the articles were limited, and the data and their ways of presentation were heterogeneous. Moreover, we had to include the pre-print studies (e.g. on bioRxiv) because of the limited number of studies and the existing evidence, and this problem could cause some studies that are not peer-reviewed and may have some errors in methodology and results, to leak into our data. Many of the studies were also laboratory or animal studies so the average minimum infective dose could vary from the actual human circumstances. Therefore, future studies are required to address the shortcomings of our study and to compensate for the lack of information regarding human models.

Conclusion

The results of this review suggest that one of the key factors to control the pandemic could be the study of virus transmission. The minimum infective dose is one of the main components of virus transmission. In this study, we have presented a range of minimum infective doses in humans and various animal species, yet such numbers can possibly vary between the individuals based on numerous factors. Measuring the minimum infective dose can provide a clearer overall understanding of the disease and its transmissibility and help better halt its spreading.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted in collaboration with Khalkhal University of Medical Sciences, and Iranian Research Center for HIV/AIDS, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

Author contributions

All the authors have read and approved the final version of the article. The conception and design of the study were performed by E.M. and S.S. Methodology was given by E.M., S.S., and A.K. Screening of the articles and acquisition of data were done by Z.P., P.M., N.J., H.M., S.D., F.A. Writing—original draft preparation was by A.B., A.F., S.K., A.K., and A.S. Writing—review & editing was by S.S. and O.D. Validation E.M., O.D., and S.S.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Nazanin Janfaza D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0990-620X Esmaeil Mehraeen D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4108-2973 Omid Dadras D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9385-2170

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Zhao Q, Meng M, Kumar R, et al. Lymphopenia is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infections: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020; 96: 131–135.
- Oliaei S, SeyedAlinaghi S, Mehrtak M, et al. The effects of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) on coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review. *Eur J Med Res* 2021; 26(1): 96.
- SeyedAlinaghi S, Mehrtak M, MohsseniPour M, et al. Genetic susceptibility of COVID-19: a systematic review of current evidence. *Eur J Med Res* 2021; 26(1): 46.
- Mehraeen E, Dadras O, Afsahi AM, et al. Vaccines for COVID-19: a systematic review of feasibility and effectiveness. *Infect Dis Drug Targ* 2022; 22(2): e230921196758.
- Sheikhbahaei E, Mirghaderi SP, Moharrami A, et al. Incidence of symptomatic COVID-19 in unvaccinated patients within one month after elective total joint arthroplasty: a multicenter study. *Arthroplast Today* 2022; 14: 110–115.
- 6. World Health Organization. WHO coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard, 2021, https://covid19.who.int/

- Park M, Cook AR, Lim JT, et al. A systematic review of COVID-19 epidemiology based on current evidence. *J Clin Med* 2020; 9(4): 967.
- Gao Z, Xu Y, Sun C, et al. A systematic review of asymptomatic infections with COVID-19. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2021; 54(1): 12–16.
- 9. Wu D, Wu T, Liu Q, et al. The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak: what we know. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020; 94: 44–48.
- Liu K, Gu Z, Islam MS, et al. Global landscape of patents related to human coronaviruses. *Int J Biol Sci* 2021; 17(6): 1588–1599.
- Abduljalil JM and Abduljalil BM. Epidemiology, genome, and clinical features of the pandemic SARS-CoV-2: a recent view. *New Microbes New Infect* 2020; 35: 100672.
- Karimzadeh S, Bhopal R and Nguyen Tien H. Review of infective dose, routes of transmission and outcome of COVID-19 caused by the SARS-COV-2: comparison with other respiratory viruses. *Epidemiol Infect* 2021; 149: e96.
- Perera RA, Mok CK, Tsang OT, et al. Serological assays for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), March 2020. *Euro Surveill* 2020; 25(16): 2000421.
- Bao L, Deng W, Huang B, et al. The pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 in hACE2 transgenic mice. *Nature* 2020; 583(7818): 830–833.
- Bao L, Gao H, Deng W, et al. Transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 via close contact and respiratory droplets among human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 mice. *J Infect Dis* 2020; 222(4): 551–555.
- 16. Basu S. Computational characterization of inhaled droplet transport to the nasopharynx. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11(1): 1–13.
- Bullard J, Dust K, Funk D, et al. Predicting infectious severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 from diagnostic samples. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; 71(10): 2663–2666.
- Bullard J, Funk D, Dust K, et al. Infectivity of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in children compared with adults. *CMAJ* 2021; 193(17): E601–E606.
- Chan JFW, Yip CCY, To KKW, et al. Improved molecular diagnosis of COVID-19 by the novel, highly sensitive and specific COVID-19-RdRp/Hel real-time reverse transcription-PCR assay validated in vitro and with clinical specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* 2020; 58(5): e00310–e00320.
- Cross RW, Agans KN, Prasad AN, et al. Intranasal exposure of African green monkeys to SARS-CoV-2 results in acute phase pneumonia with shedding and lung injury still present in the early convalescence phase. *Virol J* 2020; 17(1): 1–12.
- Deng W, Bao L, Gao H, et al. Ocular conjunctival inoculation of SARS-CoV-2 can cause mild COVID-19 in rhesus macaques. *Nat Commun* 2020; 11(1): 1–7.
- Dhakal S, Ruiz-Bedoya CA, Zhou R, et al. Sex differences in lung imaging and SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses in a COVID-19 golden Syrian hamster model, 2021, https://

www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.04.02.438292v1. full.pdf+html

- Johnston SC, Ricks KM, Jay A, et al. Development of a coronavirus disease 2019 nonhuman primate model using airborne exposure. *PLoS ONE* 2021; 16(2): e0246366.
- Kumar R, Kolloli A and Subbian S. Inactivation and elimination of SARS-CoV-2 in biosamples using simple fixatives and ultrafiltration. *Meth Protoc* 2021; 4(1): 18.
- Rathnasinghe R, Strohmeier S, Amanat F, et al. Comparison of transgenic and adenovirus hACE2 mouse models for SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 2020; 9(1): 2433–2445.
- Rosenke K, Meade-White K, Letko M, et al. Defining the Syrian hamster as a highly susceptible preclinical model for SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 2020; 9(1): 2673–2684.
- Ryan KA, Bewley KR, Fotheringham SA, et al. Dosedependent response to infection with SARS-CoV-2 in the ferret model: evidence of protection to re-challenge, 2020, https:// www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.29.123810v1
- Sia SF, Yan LM, Chin AWH, et al. Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in golden hamsters. *Nature* 2020; 583(7818): 834–838.
- 29. Song Z, Bao L, Yu P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 causes a systemically multiple organs damages and dissemination in hamsters. *Front Microbiol* 2021; 11: 618891.
- Van der Moeren N, Zwart VF, Goderski G, et al. Performance of the Diasorin SARS-CoV-2 antigen detection assay on the LIAISON XL. J Clin Virol 2021; 141: 104909.
- Woolsey C, Borisevich V, Prasad AN, et al. Establishment of an African green monkey model for COVID-19, 2020, https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32511377/
- Yamayoshi S, Sakai-Tagawa Y, Koga M, et al. Comparison of rapid antigen tests for covid-19. *Viruses* 2020; 12(12): 1420.
- Ward RL, Akin EW and D'Alessio DJ. Minimum infective dose of animal viruses. *Crit Rev Environ Control* 1984; 14(4): 297–310.
- Carter J, Saunders V and Saunders VA. *Virology: principles and applications*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2007.
- SeyedAlinaghi S, Karimi A, MohsseniPour M, et al. The clinical outcomes of COVID-19 in HIV-positive patients: a systematic review of current evidence. *Immun Inflamm Dis* 2021; 9(4): 1160–1185.
- SeyedAlinaghi S, Mirzapour P, Dadras O, et al. Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 different variants and related morbidity and mortality: a systematic review. *Eur J Med Res* 2021; 26(1): 51.
- Karimi A, Shobeiri P, Kulasinghe A, et al. Novel systemic inflammation markers to predict COVID-19 prognosis. *Front Immunol* 2021; 12: 741061.
- Dadras O, Alinaghi SAS, Karimi A, et al. Effects of COVID-19 prevention procedures on other common infections: a systematic review. *Eur J Med Res* 2021; 26(1): 67.