

Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on depression, perceived stress and hypochondria of frontline dental staff (FDS): A cohort study

Karim Jafari^a, Somayeh Hekmatfar^b, Dara Lotfi Vahid^c, Esmæil Sadri Damirchi^d and Hamed Zandian^{e,*}

^a*Department of Prosthetic Dentistry, Dental Faculty, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran*

^b*Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Dental Faculty, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran*

^c*Dental Faculty, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran*

^d*Department of Counseling, School of Educational Sciences and Psychology, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran*

^e*Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran*

Received 16 July 2022

Accepted 20 November 2022

Abstract.

BACKGROUND: The coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic had several mental effects on medical staff.

OBJECTIVE: This study intended to evaluate deviations in Frontline Dental Staff (FDS) depression, perceived stress and hypochondria during the COVID-19 outbreak, and to assess whether the existence of potential risk-factors influenced these symptoms over time.

METHODS: This prospective cohort study surveyed FDS three periods from February 1, 2021 to April 8, 2022. FDSs' mental health was assessed through Beck Depression Inventory, Cohen Perceived Stress and the Evans Self-Illness Questionnaires; multivariate linear regression were used to identify potential factors associated with changes on each outcome (depression, perceived stress and hypochondria) over time. All analyses were performed in STATA ver.14.

RESULTS: In a total of 635 FDS, about 69%, 83%, and 71% reported relative depression, 77%, 87%, and 64% reported moderate to high stress level, and 60%, 76% and 74% reported moderate hypochondriac from first, second, and third survives, respectively. The mean and standard deviation of the variables increased dramatically at second survive (July 17 to October 21, 2021) and decreased again at third survive significantly. Being female, working in public sector, and low socioeconomic level were main predictors of higher levels of depression ($p < 0.001$) and perceived stress ($p < 0.001$). Perceived stress was a strong predictor of high-level depression ($t = 7.79, p < 0.001$).

CONCLUSION: FDSs' depression, stress and hypochondria were high and positively associated with being female, working in public-sector, and low socioeconomic level. To increase the effectiveness and decrease work burnout, psychological support should be provided.

Keywords: Depression, perceived stress, hypochondria, COVID-19, frontline dental staff

*Address for correspondence: Hamed Zandian, Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran. E-mail: zandian.hamed899@gmail.com.

1. Introduction

Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) has developed into a global pandemic in a comparatively short time. The social distancing program has created several stressful events in life: loss of freedom, separation and reduced interaction with family and relatives, but also the inability to work affects mental health [1]. The COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically impacted the health system and population health as well as the practice of dental care [2, 3].

Dental workers are exposed to a variety of occupational hazards including noise and vibration, dental amalgam, and contaminants [4, 5]. Biological agents are another type of occupational hazard, in which dentists can become contaminated through saliva, blood, contaminated instruments and bioaerosols from patients in the environment or in the air. It consists of airborne dispersion of droplets or fine dust containing infectious agents such as SARS-CoV, and COVID-19 [6, 7]. Concerns about the transmission of the coronavirus in the dental office are widespread around the world. Depending on the type of dental procedure and the dental team proximity to the patient, the disease can easily be transmitted from infected patients to the dental team and vice versa and then to other patients if no suitable infection control measures are taken [8]. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) prepared a document which divides the risk of exposure into four categories, with dentistry in the highest risk category [9]. The occurrence of COVID-19 has had a mostly negative effect on the work of dentists. Routine dental procedures are discontinued with any dental care because of the several risk such as cross-infection. In addition, the oral mucosa has been recognized as a route of entry for infection that restricts dental activities to treat emergencies and emergency procedures to reduce the build-up of drops or aerosols. In addition, dental companies and industries have decided to suspend some of their staff. Dentists are ethically committed to reducing regular work to prevent the spread of infections among their families and patients. However, they are also very concerned about the financial consequences of imprisonment [10–12].

Mental disorders are one of the most important and significant components of the overall disease burden [13]. Communities around the world suffer directly from the high rates of infection associated with increased mortality and other indirect effects on physical and mental health [14]. Exposure to new unknown stressors carries the risk of devel-

oping new or worsening pre-existing mental health problems, such as symptoms of anxiety, depression, increased mental stress, and hypochondria [15]. These psychological stressors could not only affect the performance of healthcare professionals in addressing the challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic, but also affect their general well-being and long-term mental health [16]. Mohsin et al. demonstrated that COVID-19 has disrupted the readiness of dentists for clinical rotation and reduced their confidence in the clinical setting. Due to lack of preparation and lack of confidence, most dental interns are not willing to come to the hospital to work on time [5]. Although studies on the mental outcomes of the COVID-19 disaster remains with inside the early stages of development, there are posted research that help the belief that worry is a chief contributing aspect with inside the extended fees of despair and generalized tension which can be being located worldwide [17–19].

Depression is a mood disorder that causes prolonged feelings of sadness and loss of interest. Therefore, defend the intellectual fitness of healthcare experts as a great deal as viable to get the pandemic below manipulate and defend the intellectual fitness of healthcare experts with inside the quick and lengthy term [15]. Hypochondria, also known as health anxiety, is also a relatively enduring trait, but it specifically focuses on excessive anxiety or worry about serious illness and one's own health [20]. Hypochondria correlates positively with depression and anxiety [21].

There has been controversy over the impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on the mental health of dentist especially FDS. Mekhemar et al. reported that German dental students showed a total of slight effects of the outbreak on the assessed psychological aspects [22]. Zhao et al. showed that during the COVID-19 pandemic, FDS were more likely to suffer from anxiety disorders than the general public [23]. In another study, Hakami et al. reported increased levels of depression, anxiety, and stress [24]. Nakhostin et al. found that there was no significant difference between depression and anxiety among Iranian medical students before and after the COVID-19 outbreak [25]. Sharma et al. showed that during the COVID-19 outbreak, dentists' emotional well-being ratings were low. Gender, marital status, socioeconomic status, years of experience, practices in the clinic, and their average number of patients treated per month known as influencing factors on a dentist's mental health [26].

137 Finally, there is no comprehensive evidence for the
 138 interactions of COVID-19 and the mental status of
 139 dental staff using multiple tools to detect precise inter-
 140 actions and effects, so we decided to analyze mental
 141 status during COVID-19 from different perspectives
 142 using different questionnaires. In addition, there are
 143 poor evidence in the field of analyzing of potential
 144 risk-factors influenced on mental health status of den-
 145 tal staff over time in developing countries. Therefore,
 146 the present study aimed to investigate depression, per-
 147 ceived stress and hypochondria during the COVID-19
 148 outbreak in Frontline Dental Staff (FDS), and to
 149 assess whether the existence of potential risk factors
 150 influenced these symptoms over time.

151 2. Materials and method

152 2.1. Study design and setting

153 This prospective cohort study was conducted based
 154 on STROBE checklist. This study included three
 155 COVID-19 peaks (fourth, fifth, and sixth peaks) peri-
 156 ods. The study period was from February 1 to March
 157 2, 2021 (first survey, after peak 3), July 17 to Octo-
 158 ber 21, 2021 (second survey, during peak 5, which
 159 was the most intense period), and March 10 to April
 160 8, 2022 (third survey, after the complete subsidence
 161 of COVID-19 in Iran). The study setting was public
 162 dental clinics in Ardabil province, north-west of Iran.
 163 In Iran, the Deputy for Health at the Iranian Min-
 164 istry of Health and Medical Education (MOHME)
 165 as Secretariat of the National Headquarters issued
 166 the legislation related to dealing with and controlling
 167 the COVID-19 pandemic. Data related to COVID-
 168 19 at all levels, including information related to its
 169 incidence, prevalence, and psychological and social
 170 effects, were recorded daily in the form of a compre-
 171 hensive COVID-19 data registration system [27].

172 2.2. Study participants and sampling

Snowball sampling method was used with focus on
 recruiting frontline dentists (working in public health
 system clinics settings during COVID-19) in Ardabil.
 Participating FDS were also encouraged to invite new
 respondents from their contacts. The minimum sam-
 ple size in the present study was calculated for each
 data gathering round based on the total number of
 dentists of public and private clinics list according to

the following formula (equation 1):

$$n = \frac{n}{1 + \frac{n}{N}}$$

$$= 204 \text{ for each roun} \cong 612 \text{ total sample} \quad (1)$$

Inclusion criteria in this study was: obtaining
 informed consent, graduated dentists, Having at list
 6 month work experiences, ability to answer ques-
 tionnaire questions, ability to communicate and the
 absence of mental illness in self-declaration and no
 use of any drugs. Exclusion criteria was defined
 as declaration of illness or chronic mental disorder
 before the study, death of first-degree relatives three
 months ago by COVID-19, working as a dentist, and
 failure to complete the informed consent form. Con-
 scious consent with inside the shape of studies ethics
 changed into acquired from all individuals earlier
 than the begin of the study.

2.3. Data collection tools and techniques

A week after the third peak of COVID-19 in Iran,
 we started collecting data by sending e-mails to front-
 line dentist staff who were in the researchers' contact
 list and posting information about the study in social
 networks. Participants involved in web based inter-
 view by Google Meet (video-communication service
 developed by Google) and questionnaires were com-
 pleted through an online platform (Google Forms).
 At the start of the interviews, the researchers pro-
 vided the necessary explanations about the goals
 and stages of the research and the informed consent
 form was obtained from them. Then, four ques-
 tionnaires including demographic information, Beck
 Depression Inventory (BDI), Cohen Perceived Stress
 Questionnaire (PSS-14) and the Evans Self-Illness
 Questionnaire for Completion were filled by the
 eligible FDS. All participants answered all ques-
 tions because the online questionnaire platform was
 designed in such a way that it was impossible to move
 on to the next question without answering the previ-
 ous question. The online survey questionnaire was
 organized in such a way that from the outset, the par-
 ticipants stated their consent to participate in the study
 by ticking the identified box. Participants were then
 asked for basic demographic information with pre-
 defined options. In the next step, participants were
 asked to answer questions from the depression ques-
 tionnaire, the perceived stress questionnaire, and the
 Evans hypochondriac questionnaire. Finally, while

216 thanking the participants for their time in the study,
 217 each received a free internet for a month as a reward
 218 for participating in the study. All questionnaires are in
 219 Persian, validity and reliability previously confirmed.

2.3.1. 1-Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)

220 The BDI, created by Aaron T. Beck, is a 21-
 221 question multiple-choice self-report inventory, one
 222 of the most commonly used psychometric tests to
 223 measure depression severity. Each question consists
 224 of four sentences. Each of these sentences in each
 225 question expresses a state of the person. The pupil
 226 needs to examine the terms of every organization so
 227 as and carefully. Then select a word in every orga-
 228 nization that fine describes his or her present-day
 229 feelings. This check is for humans over sixteen years
 230 old. The interpretation of the scores for this question-
 231 naire will be such that first the scores of each of the
 232 21 questions presented in the questionnaire must be
 233 added together. Then, according to the sum of scores,
 234 the rate of depression in students is determined as
 235 follows:

236 [1–10: Natural]; [11–16: Slightly depressed];
 237 [17–20: Need to consult a psychologist or psychi-
 238 atrist]; [21–30: relatively depressed]; [31–60: Severe
 239 depression]; [≥ 60 : excessive depressions].

240 The validity of the BDI has been confirmed and its
 241 reliability has been reported using Cronbach's alpha
 242 method of 0.89 and even higher, which is acceptable
 243 [28].
 244

2.3.2. 2-The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)

245 The original 14-item PSS version assesses a
 246 person's feelings and thoughts about events and sit-
 247 uations that have occurred over the past month. So
 248 far, this questionnaire has been translated into vari-
 249 ous languages, including Arabic, Persian, Swedish,
 250 Spanish, Chinese, Japanese and Turkish. The mini-
 251 mum age that your research samples should have is
 252 17 years old. The approach of scoring the Perceived
 253 Stress Questionnaire includes 14 gadgets wherein
 254 7 gadgets are positive and seven gadgets are nega-
 255 tive. The negative component evaluates the dearth of
 256 manipulate and negative emotional reactions, whilst
 257 the positive component evaluates the extent of cap
 258 potential to deal with outside stressors over the last
 259 month. Each item is evaluated on a scale of 5 options:
 260 0=never to 4=many times. In this questionnaire,
 261 questions 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, and 7 positive items
 262 are scored upside down. PASS-14 scores range from
 263 0–56, with higher scores indicating greater perceived
 264 stress. Score categories are: Low Stress (scores 0 –
 265

18), Moderate Stress (scores 19 – 37), High Stress
 (scores 38 – 56) [29]. The validity of the Cohen Per-
 ceived Stress Questionnaire was confirmed and its
 reliability was reported to be 0.83 and even higher
 using Cronbach's alpha method, which is acceptable
 [30, 31].

2.3.3. 3-Evans Hypochondriac Questionnaires

266 The hypochondria questionnaire was designed
 267 and developed in 1980 by Evans to familiarize
 268 with hypochondria. This questionnaire consists of
 269 36 questions and individuals based on the score
 270 obtained in the healthy (0–20), borderline (21–30),
 271 mild (31–40), moderate (41–60) and severe (above
 272 60) groups. The reliability of the questionnaire was
 273 calculated using Cronbach's alpha method of 0.86
 274 and its validity has been confirmed in most studies
 275 that have used this tool to measure self-morbidity [32,
 276 33].

2.4. Data analysis

284 The questionnaire assessed demographic vari-
 285 ables, such as age, gender, marital status or
 286 socioeconomic status, intending to characterize the
 287 sample and to test its representativeness of the popu-
 288 lation (FDS who work in healthcare settings in Iran).
 289 Moreover, it assessed risk factors potentially asso-
 290 ciated with mental health symptoms among FDS,
 291 which can be divided into four major sections: (1) per-
 292 sonal factors; (2) working conditions; (3) economic
 293 status; and (4) attitude towards COVID-19. Socioe-
 294 conomic status was categorized into three levels (low,
 295 middle, and high) based on the participants self-
 296 report. The characteristics of the sample at baseline
 297 were summarized by mean and standard deviation
 298 (SD) for quantitative variables and by frequency and
 299 percentages for qualitative variables.
 300

During the COVID-19 pandemic, t-check became
 used to assess statistics regarding the traits and well-
 known occasions of FDS. The imply despair degree
 ratings and perceived pressure in FDS have been
 measured the use of the pupil t-check. Variance eval-
 uation became used to assess FDSs' despair, perceived
 pressure and hypochondria through well-known
 traits and well-known occasions, multiple regres-
 sion evaluation became accomplished to research the
 correlation among depression, perceived stress and
 hypochondria with admire to explanatory variables.
 All analyses were performed in Stata software ver.14,
 and a significance level of 0.05 was considered.
 To conduct a multivariate linear regression in Stata

(equation 2), we used two commands, *manova* and *mvreg*. The *manova* command indicates if all of the equations, taken together, are statistically significant. The *F – ratios* and *p – values* for four multivariate criterion are given, including Wilks’ lambda, Lawley-Hotelling trace, Pillai’s trace, and Roy’s largest root. Next, we used the *mvreg* command to obtain the coefficients, standard errors, etc., for each of the predictors in each part of the model. We also showed the use of the *test* command after the *mvreg* command. The use of the test command is one of the compelling reasons for conducting a multivariate regression analysis.

$$\hat{Y} = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + \dots + b_nX_n + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

3. Results

3.1. FDSs’ general characteristics

In total, 635 FDS were included in the study from three step survives (first round = 204, second round = 207, and third round = 214). About 53.2% of the participants were male, 46.6% of all participants were 30 to 36 years old, and 81.7% were married. Based on the results, 51.1% of all FDS categorized themselves in middle socioeconomic status. Table 1

indicates the demographic distribution of the studied samples.

3.2. FDSs’ depression, perceived stress and hypochondria

Table 2 summarizes the continuous scores from of depression, perceived stress, and hypochondria among FDS during three survives based on participants’ general characteristics. Based on the results, the means of depression, perceived stress and hypochondria had significantly higher scores at second survive (July 17 to October 21, 2021), exactly during the fifth peak of COVID-19 in all subgroups ($p < 0.05$). Notwithstanding the notable impact of COVID-19 during different peaks across all subgroups, females, FDS aged ≥ 36 years old, married, whom did not work at private section, and FDS with lower socioeconomic status reported significantly higher level of depression, perceived stress and hypochondria then males during all times.

Figure 1 shows the total mean and standard deviation of depression, perceived stress, and hypochondria during three survives, where there were significant difference between survives and the mean and standard deviation of the variables increased dramatically at second survive (July 17 to October 21, 2021) and decreased at third survive (March 10 to April 8, 2022).

Table 1
General characteristics and conditions of FDS during three survives during the COVID-19 outbreak ($n = 635$)

Variables	Survive 1 ($n = 214$) (February 1 to March 2, 2021)		Survive 2 ($n = 207$) (July 17 to October 21, 2021)		Survive 3 ($n = 214$) (March 10 to April 8, 2022)		Time dif.
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Gender							
Male	101	47.4	96	46.2	100	46.6	0.314
Female	113	52.6	111	53.8	114	53.4	
Age (year)							
<30	53	24.6	49	23.9	45	20.8	0.068
30–36	103	47.9	93	44.8	100	46.5	
≥ 36	59	27.5	65	31.3	70	32.7	
Marital status							
Married	177	82.7	169	81.8	173	80.9	0.168
Single	37	17.3	38	18.2	41	19.1	
Work at private section							
Yes	128	59.7	125	60.6	133	62.3	0.332
No	86	40.3	82	39.4	81	37.7	
History of mental illness							
Yes	11	5.1	9	4.3	11	5.3	0.605
No	203	94.9	198	95.7	203	94.7	
Socioeconomic status							
Low	42	19.4	42	20.3	46	21.5	0.229
Middle	105	49.2	107	51.5	113	52.6	
High	67	31.4	58	28.2	55	25.9	

Table 2
Depression, perceived stress, and hypochondrias based on general characteristics and conditions of FDS during the COVID-19 outbreak ($n = 635$)

Variables	BDI [Mean (SD)]				PSS [Mean (SD)]				Evans Hypo. [Mean (SD)]			
	First	Second	Third	Time Dif.	First	Second	Third	Time Dif.	First	Second	Third	Time Dif.
Gender												
Male	24.15 (3.67)	23.45 (6.67)	20.05 (4.02)	<0.001	28.05 (4.42)	34.42 (3.47)	27.15 (5.14)	<0.001	34.48 (4.03)	42.34 (5.91)	30.19 (7.01)	0.069
Female	25.76 (5.36)	29.76 (5.36)	24.81 (5.02)		34.44 (4.67)	41.71 (5.91)	32.70 (5.70)		43.74 (5.61)	62.13 (7.72)	38.74 (4.76)	
Group dif.	0.059	0.03	0.001	■	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	■	<0.001	0.068	0.104	■
Age groups												
<30	23.45 (3.61)	24.75 (2.91)	23.14 (2.86)	<0.001	26.14 (3.48)	32.31 (6.11)	29.66 (4.62)	0.853	35.31 (8.43)	46.72 (8.03)	33.41 (2.81)	0.001
30–36	24.6 (4.66)	25.6 (3.72)	20.6 (4.66)		34.71 (5.11)	39.42 (7.31)	27.28 (7.77)		42.59 (8.12)	57.41 (6.66)	32.29 (7.40)	
≥36	26.70 (4.96)	28.70 (4.01)	22.70 (4.02)		33.17 (7.42)	43.05 (6.54)	32.25 (6.31)		42.03 (6.40)	52.11 (4.65)	37.30 (14.1)	
Group dif.	0.181	0.046	0.006	■	0.206	0.004	0.043	■	0.059	0.004	0.181	■
Marital Status												
Married	26.51 (3.79)	28.63 (4.09)	24.93 (4.04)	0.002	34.45 (4.45)	36.01 (6.71)	29.05 (3.66)	0.002	43.75 (5.51)	61.02 (5.16)	47.11 (19.8)	0.573
Single	23.41 (4.63)	25.06 (4.13)	19.86 (5.61)		29.01 (4.99)	42.14 (5.02)	30.46 (5.51)		34.77 (9.01)	44.06 (9.11)	49.04 (17.7)	
Group dif.	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	■	0.005	<0.001	0.152	■	<0.001	<0.001	0.015	■
Work at private section												
Yes	22.04 (4.74)	23.74 (3.78)	20.94 (5.01)	0.004	26.11 (3.78)	32.41 (5.03)	28.45 (5.33)	<0.001	42.33 (4.13)	44.33 (4.32)	33.14 (10.20)	<0.001
No	26.98 (4.04)	29.11 (4.61)	23.68 (4.33)		38.14 (6.79)	45.01 (4.13)	31.05 (4.11)		37.55 (8.05)	61.02 (9.15)	36.55 (8.96)	
Group dif.	0.006	<0.001	0.004	■	<0.001	<0.001	0.061	■	0.012	<0.001	0.069	■
History of mental illness												
Yes	25.11 (6.74)	28.02 (4.33)	23.60 (4.68)	0.004	29.45 (5.70)	34.02 (8.01)	29.48 (6.32)	0.006	41.03 (6.31)	46.71 (2.65)	45.11 (18.4)	0.042
No	24.42 (3.25)	25.84 (4.61)	20.82 (3.19)		33.55 (6.11)	43.77 (7.41)	30.41 (4.45)		39.05 (5.03)	58.34 (6.31)	39.10 (8.71)	
Group dif.	0.147	0.015	0.004	■	<0.001	<0.001	0.239	■	0.227	<0.001	0.003	■
Socioeconomic status												
Low	27.76 (5.18)	32.03 (6.35)	26.13 (4.03)	<0.001	32.41 (6.38)	44.37 (6.19)	34.41 (6.41)	0.002	46.91 (6.72)	61.60 (8.15)	40.01 (7.62)	<0.001
Middle	24.84 (5.49)	26.14 (4.14)	23.19 (3.71)		30.22 (5.10)	38.12 (7.91)	29.46 (6.61)		37.60 (4.11)	55.02 (7.13)	31.69 (4.41)	
High	21.32 (5.33)	22.42 (5.22)	18.46 (4.11)		30.40 (6.31)	33.19 (6.08)	25.71 (4.77)		33.06 (6.57)	41.32 (6.09)	31.98 (7.65)	
Group dif.	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	■	0.412	0.019	0.004	■	0.218	<0.001	0.218	■

BDI: Beck Depression Inventory, PSS: Perceived Stress Scale, Evans Hypo.: Evans Hypochondriac, FDS: Frontline Dental Staff, Group dif.: Group difference, SD: Standard deviation.




Fig. 1. The mean and standard deviation of depression based on the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), stress level by the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), and hypochondria by Evans Hypochondriac (Hypo.) during three round survives (first, second, and third). There was a significant difference in BDI mean between second and third survives (*: $p < 0.05$). In addition, there was significant difference in mean PSS (**: $p < 0.001$) and mean Hypo. (##: $p < 0.001$) between all three survives.

The results of multivariate regression regarding FDSs' depression, perceived stress and hypochondria throughout the COVID-19 outbreak, are presented in Table 3. Being female was a predictor of higher levels of depression ($t = 6.36$, $p < 0.001$) and perceived stress ($t = 4.51$, $p < 0.001$). In addition, working in private section and being in higher socioeconomic level were predictors of lower level of depression and perceived stress. Perceived stress was a strong predictor of high-level depression ($t = 7.79$, $p < 0.001$). The consequences confirmed that a complete of 41.2% of the variance of FDSs' depression (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.412$), 34.6% of the variance of FDSs' perceived stress (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.346$), and 17.6% of the variance of FDSs' hypochondria (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.176$) may be defined through the variables entered with inside the model. Table 3 provides information about all variables included in the multiple linear regression models.

4. Discussion

In the COVID-19 pandemic crisis, the function of health care providers cannot be ignored. Health care providers such as medical, dental, pharmacy, and nursing are the frontline medical staff, who would possibly have close touch with the infected people during the COVID-19 pandemic [34, 35]. This survey, as a prospective cohort study, was the first study that examined the effect of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health issues among FDS in Iran from differ-

ent perspective by evaluating depression, perceived stress, hypochondria, and their interaction during the different periods.

This study showed that during the COVID-19 outbreak in Iran about 69%, 83%, and 71% of FDS reported relative depression ($21 < \text{BDI} < 30$) during first, second, and third survives, respectively. In addition, 77%, 87%, and 64% of FDS reported moderate to high stress level ($19 < \text{PSS} < 37$) during first, second, and third survives, respectively. Finally, 60%, 76% and 74% of FDS reported moderate hypochondria during first, second, and third survives, respectively. A study by Ranka et al. in the UK declared that almost all the dentists in their survey were experiencing middle to high level of depression symptoms and stress during COVID-19 outbreak [36]. There are significant correlation between work-related stress and COVID-19 outbreak in dentists based on previous studies [36–38]. Zandian et al. in a cross-sectional study among Iranian nurses concluded that nurses suffered higher level of stress during COVID-19 outbreak and they need to proactive psychological support to prevent burnout and continue to provide nursing services [39]. Potas et al. found that psychological health, psychological effects of social isolation, and trait anxiety fully mediated the relationship between state anxiety and quality of life of nurses during COVID-19 [40].

COVID-19 related restrictions such as lockdowns are known to be the main factor of depression and stress among people. Al-Rawi et al. reported that stress, depression, and anxiety were prevalent during the pandemic among dentists because of COVID-19 restrictions [41]. Studies showed that COVID-19 pandemic has taken an additional psychological toll on the daily demands of dental professionals. The key is to strike a balance between the occupational health and well-being of dental providers while maintaining safe and paramount oral care for the public [4, 6].

In Iran, lockdowns were cancelled after the fifth peak of COVID-19, when the incidence of COVID-19 and its attributable mortality rate decreased significantly [42, 43]. Therefore, lockdown cancelation could be an important reason to decrease depression and other mental disorders related to COVID-19. In addition, increasing protective protocols because of COVID-19 such as social distance effect on population mental health status, where several studies detected this positive correlation [44–46].

Based on the results, at first, depression was increased during the COVID-19 outbreak and dramatically was decreased after the subsidence of

Table 3
Multiple linear regression estimates for depression, perceived stress, and hypochondria based on general characteristics during the COVID-19 outbreaks in FDS ($n = 635$)

Explanatory variables	Depression			Perceived stress			Hypochondria		
	Coef. β	Contribution	P> t	Coef. β	Contribution	P> t	Coef. β	Contribution	P> t
		%95 CI			%95 CI			%95 CI	
Gender									
Male (ref.)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Female	6.36	2.02 ± 8.69	<0.001	4.51	1.74 ± 6.55	<0.001	1.97	0.88 ± 6.40	0.232
Age (year)									
20–25 (ref.)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
26–30	0.82	-1.74 ± 1.70	0.978	-0.94	-2.78 ± 0.88	0.310	3.70	-2.67 ± 10.08	0.253
≥31	-1.46	-5.14 ± 2.20	0.432	-1.84	-5.55 ± 1.86	0.328	-1.05	-14.6 ± 12.5	0.879
Marital status									
Single (ref.)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Married	1.00	-1.20 ± 3.21	0.372	1.35	-0.93 ± 3.63	0.246	-2.24	-10.4 ± 5.91	0.588
Work in private section									
No (ref.)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Yes	-2.46	-1.08 ± 2.83	0.005	-3.54	-1.73 ± 7.22	<0.001	1.90	0.84 ± 6.87	0.765
History of mental illness									
No (ref.)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Yes	1.42	-2.61 ± 4.02	0.622	-0.86	-4.30 ± 2.58	0.622	-5.29	-17.5 ± 6.95	0.395
Socioeconomic status									
low (ref.)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Middle	-0.60	-2.84 ± 1.62	0.593	-0.44	-2.73 ± 1.85	0.704	-4.27	-12.5 ± 3.97	0.308
High	-3.97	-1.28 ± 7.24	0.004	-4.35	-2.66 ± 8.04	0.046	1.67	-6.68 ± 10.03	0.693
Depression									
Score				7.97	2.08 ± 13.8	<0.001	2.15	0.90 ± 9.21	0.084
Perceived stress									
Score	7.97	2.08 ± 13.8	<0.001				1.92	0.81 ± 6.15	0.468
Hypochondria									
Score	2.15	0.90 ± 9.21	0.084	1.92	0.81 ± 6.15	0.468			
Constant	37.56		<0.001	25.56		<0.001	13.74		0.476
F	5.86		<0.001	3.79		<0.001	3.05		0.006
AR square	0.412			0.346			0.176		

418 COVID-19 in Iran (after cancellation of COVID-19
419 restrictions). Feter et al. showed that depressive
420 symptoms among Brazilian adults increased
421 significantly after the COVID-19 outbreak and imple-
422 mentation of social distancing restrictions [47]. A
423 study by Liu et al. in china showed that depression
424 symptoms among medical staff were increased sig-
425 nificantly during COVID-19 [48], which is in line
426 with results of this study. The level of depression
427 reported by FDS in this study was lower than physi-
428 cians [49] and nurses staff [50] based on the BDI.
429 One of notable reason could be the lower level of
430 stress among dentists in compare with physicians
431 and nurses staff. Several studies showed that medical
432 staff who perceived a higher level of stress such as
433 nurses tend to develop more anxiety and depression
434 symptoms [48, 51].

435 Depression, stress and hypochondria were preva-
436 lent among female and participants aged ≥ 36 years
437 old based on this study results. In a study conducted
438 on Brazilian dentists, female gender and young age
439 were shown to be associated factors with high level

440 of depression, anxiety, and stress [52]. Single partic-
441 ipants and privet sector workers had lower level of
442 depression and stress. Al-Rawi et al. [41] detected
443 being satisfied with the job and working in the pri-
444 vate section as protective variables for depression and
445 stress among dentists, which is in line with our find-
446 ings. Mekhemar et al. stated that being unmarried and
447 having no infant responsibilities was related to more
448 healthy intellectual results than being wed, dating or
449 elevating children [22]. Based on this study results,
450 there were no differences between men and women in
451 terms of depression, perceived stress, and hypochon-
452 dria. A study conducted by Fink et al. also found
453 that the prevalence of hypochondria was equal among
454 men and women [53]. Hakami et al. reported that the
455 woman college students had been statistically drasti-
456 cally extra depressed, anxious, and confused than the
457 male college students [24]. In general, it can be con-
458 cluded that all people experience a minimum level
459 of stress and anxiety caused by COVID-19, because
460 of fear of infection, its complications and unclear
461 outcome due to infection. Most people did not have

462 enough information about COVID-19 due to unclear
463 approve information about it, which has caused an
464 increase in anxiety, depression, and stress in different
465 groups in society [52].

466 Based on the results, FDS with higher socioeco-
467 nomic status had lower level of depression and stress.
468 Bello et al. concluded from their systematic review
469 and meta-analysis study that low socioeconomic level
470 groups during the COVID-19 pandemic suffered
471 higher level of depression and stress [54]. Reme et
472 al. showed that depression, stress, and hypochon-
473 dria were particularly high among women and those
474 with lower levels of socioeconomic status during the
475 COVID-19 outbreak [55]. Silva et al. showed that
476 being female, having a high socioeconomic status, not
477 working during social distancing, and having access
478 to online modules were indirectly associated with
479 mental health through psychosocial factors [56].

480 However, there is still a gap in the field of psycho-
481 logical and behavioural effects of COVID-19 on the
482 performance of health system employees, especially
483 dentists in term of efficiency, job satisfaction, finan-
484 cial performance, and its impact on their economic
485 and social level, which should be investigated in dif-
486 ferent studies. In addition, the impact of COVID-19
487 on the performance of the health system from the per-
488 spective of health service utilization and its effect on
489 population mental health should also be investigated
490 at two individual and organizational levels in future
491 studies.

4.1. Limitations and recommendation

492
493 The study is not without limitations. First, there is
494 strong evidence which confirmed that almost every-
495 one is affected mentally by the COVID-19 outbreak
496 and dental staff are not an exception. In addition, we
497 used non-probability sampling method instead of a
498 random sampling method due to the limitation dur-
499 ing the COVID-19 pandemic. Online survey should
500 also be considered as another limitation of this study
501 because of limited access to online platform for some
502 participants during COVID-19. Therefore, extrapola-
503 tion of the results should be cautious. Finally, all the
504 diagnoses in this study were mental health states, not
505 mental disorders.

5. Conclusion

506
507 This study offered a holistic view on FDS men-
508 tal health status in different period of the COVID-19

509 pandemic by using strong methods and tools. Based
510 on the study results, self-reported rates of depression,
511 perceived stress and hypochondria were high among
512 FDS. Being female, working in public sections, and
513 lower socioeconomic level associated significantly
514 with higher level of depression and perceived stress
515 among FDS. Based on this, it can be concluded that
516 in pandemics such as COVID-19, psychological sup-
517 port should also be provided to the treatment staff
518 to increase the effectiveness and decrease their work
519 burnout.

Ethics statement

520
521 All participants read and signed the informed
522 consent form before inclusion in the study. The for-
523 mal research ethics committee approved the study
524 (reference number IR.ARUMS.REC.1399.455), after
525 which the study was implemented in the dental teach-
526 ing clinic of ARUMS.

Conflict of interest

527
528 The authors declare that they have no conflict of
529 interest.

Acknowledgments

530
531 This study was part of a dental student dissertation
532 at the School of Dentistry. The authors would like to
533 thank the managers and staff of the central office for
534 helping them conduct this study.

Funding

535
536 This project was not financially supported by any
537 organization or institute.

References

- 538
539 [1] Fiorillo A, Gorwood P. The consequences of the COVID-
540 19 pandemic on mental health and implications for clinical
541 practice. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2020;63(1).
542 [2] Banakar M, Lankarani KB, Jafarpour D, Moayedi S,
543 Banakar MH, MohammadSadeghi A. COVID-19 transmis-
544 sion risk and protective protocols in dentistry: a systematic
545 review. *BMC Oral Health*. 2020;20(1):1-12.

- 546 [3] Yazdi-Feyzabadi V, Alipouri Sakha M, Zandian H, Zahirian
547 Moghadam T. Alcohol-related mortality during the COVID-
548 19 outbreak in Iran: a commentary on happening a
549 crisis amid another crisis. *Journal of Substance Use*.
550 2022;27(4):435-9.
- 551 [4] Banaee S, Claiborne DM, Akpinar-Elci M. Occupational
552 health practices among dental care professionals before and
553 during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Work*. 2021;68:993-1000.
- 554 [5] Mohsin SF, Shah SA, Agwan MAS, Ali S, Alsuwaydani
555 ZA, AlSuwaydani SA. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic
556 on dental interns in Saudi Arabia. *Work*. 2022;71:825-31.
- 557 [6] Bizzoca ME, Campisi G, Lo Muzio L. Covid-19 pandemic:
558 What changes for dentists and oral medicine experts? A
559 narrative review and novel approaches to infection contain-
560 ment. *International Journal of Environmental Research and
561 Public Health*. 2020;17(11):3793.
- 562 [7] Mariam Q, Ahmed N, Abbasi MS, Nadeem R, Rizwan A,
563 Vohra F, et al. Safe practices of biomedical and dental waste
564 management amongst practicing dental professionals amid
565 the COVID-19 pandemic. *Work*. 2022;71:851-8.
- 566 [8] Coulthard P. The oral surgery response to coronavirus dis-
567 ease (COVID-19). *Keep Calm and Carry On? Oral Surg*.
568 2020.
- 569 [9] OSHA. Worker Exposure Risk to COVID-19. Retrieved
570 from: <https://www.osha.gov/Publications/OSHA3993.pdf>.
571 2020.
- 572 [10] Consolo U, Bellini P, Bencivenni D, Iani C, Checchi V.
573 Epidemiological aspects and psychological reactions to
574 COVID-19 of dental practitioners in the Northern Italy
575 Districts of Modena and Reggio Emilia. *International
576 Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*.
577 2020;17(10):3459.
- 578 [11] Ahmed MA, Jouhar R, Ahmed N, Adnan S, Aftab M, Zafar
579 MS, et al. Fear and practice modifications among dentists
580 to combat novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak.
581 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public
582 Health*. 2020;17(8):2821.
- 583 [12] Meng L, Hua F, Bian Z. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-
584 19): emerging and future challenges for dental and oral
585 medicine. *Journal of Dental Research*. 2020;99(5):481-7.
- 586 [13] Aghazadeh-Attari J, Mohebbi I, Mansorian B, Ahmadzadeh
587 J, Mirza-Aghazadeh-Attari M, Mobaraki K, et al. Epi-
588 demiological factors and worldwide pattern of Middle East
589 respiratory syndrome coronavirus from 2013 to 2016. *Int J
590 Gen Med*. 2018;11:121.
- 591 [14] Bohlken J, Schömig F, Lemke MR, Pumberger M, Riedel-
592 Heller SG. COVID-19 pandemic: stress experience of
593 healthcare workers-a short current review. *Psychiatr Prax*.
594 2020;47(4):190-7.
- 595 [15] Kang L, Li Y, Hu S, Chen M, Yang C, Yang BX, et al. The
596 mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing
597 with the 2019 novel coronavirus. *The Lancet Psychiatry*.
598 2020.
- 599 [16] Kushal A, Gupta S, Metha M, Singh M. Study of stress
600 among health care professionals: A systemic review. *Int J
601 Res Foundation Hosp Healthcare Adm*. 2018;6:6-11.
- 602 [17] Ahorsu DK, Lin C-Y, Imani V, Saffari M, Griffiths MD,
603 Pakpour AH. The fear of COVID-19 scale: development and
604 initial validation. *International Journal of Mental Health and
605 Addiction*. 2020:1-9.
- 606 [18] Lee SA, Jobe MC, Mathis AA. Mental health characteristics
607 associated with dysfunctional coronavirus anxiety. *Psychol
608 Med*. 2021;51(8):1403-4.
- 609 [19] Das G, Ahmed S, Ahmed AR, Tirth V, Jamil S, Muhammad
610 AA, et al. A study on the severity and prevention measures of
611 COVID-19 among dental professionals in clinical practice
612 management. *Work*. 2021;70:387-93.
- 613 [20] Alberts NM, Hadjistavropoulos HD, Jones SL, Sharpe
614 D. The Short Health Anxiety Inventory: A systematic
615 review and meta-analysis. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2013;27(1):
616 68-78.
- 617 [21] Wheaton MG, Abramowitz JS, Berman NC, Fabricant
618 LE, Olatunji BO. Psychological predictors of anxiety in
619 response to the H1N1 (swine flu) pandemic. *Cognit Ther
620 Res*. 2012;36(3):210-8.
- 621 [22] Mekhemar M, Attia S, Dörfer C, Conrad J. Dental Stu-
622 dents in Germany throughout the COVID-19 Pandemic:
623 A Psychological Assessment and Cross-Sectional Survey.
624 *Biology*. 2021;10(7):611.
- 625 [23] Zhao S, Cao J, Sun R, Zhang L, Liu B. Analysis of anxiety-
626 related factors amongst frontline dental staff during the
627 COVID-19 pandemic in Yichang, China. *BMC Oral Health*.
628 2020;20(1):342.
- 629 [24] Hakami Z, Khanagar SB, Vishwanathaiah S, Hakami A,
630 Bokhari AM, Jabali AH, et al. Psychological impact of the
631 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on dental
632 students: a nationwide study. *Journal of Dental Education*.
633 2021;85(4):494-503.
- 634 [25] Nakhostin-Ansari A, Sherafati A, Aghajani F, Khonji MS,
635 Aghajani R, Shahmansouri N. Depression and anxiety
636 among Iranian Medical Students during COVID-19 pan-
637 demic. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2020;15(3):228.
- 638 [26] Sharma A, Chhabra KG, Bhandari SS, Poddar G, Dany
639 SS, Chhabra C, et al. Emotional well-being of dentists and
640 the effect of lockdown during the COVID-19 pandemic: A
641 nationwide study. *J Educ Health Promot*. 2021;10:344.
- 642 [27] Raeisi A, Tabrizi JS, Gouya MM. IR of Iran National
643 Mobilization against COVID-19 Epidemic. *Arch Iran Med*.
644 2020;23(4):216-9.
- 645 [28] Khani H, Ghodsi H, Nezhadnik H, Teymouri S, Ghodsi
646 A. Depression and its relationship with hypochondriasis in
647 nurses in Neyshabur, Iran. 2016.
- 648 [29] Reis RS, Hino A, Añez C. Perceived stress scale. *J health
649 Psychol*. 2010;15:107-14.
- 650 [30] Hasan Zadeh LifShagard M, Tarkhan M, Taghi Zadeh ME.
651 Effectiveness of stress inoculation training on perceived
652 stress in pregnant women with infertility. *Journal of Holistic
653 Nursing And Midwifery*. 2013;23(2):27-34.
- 654 [31] Shafaei FS, Mirghafourvand M, Rahimi M. Perceived stress
655 and its social-individual predictors among infertile couples
656 referring to infertility center of Alzahra hospital in Tabriz in
657 2013. *International Journal of Women's Health and Repro-
658 duction Sciences*. 2014;2(5):291-6.
- 659 [32] Evans C. *Understanding yourself: Signet Book*; 1980.
- 660 [33] Khani H, Ghodsi H, Nezhadnik H, Teymori S, Ghodsi AR.
661 Depression and its relationship with hypochondriasis in
662 nurses in Neyshabur, Iran. *Military Caring Sciences Journal*.
663 2016;3(1):34-40.
- 664 [34] Mackay IM, Arden KE. MERS coronavirus: diagnostics,
665 epidemiology and transmission. *Virology*. 2015;12(1):1-21.
- 666 [35] Raeissi P, Zandian H, Mirzarahimy T, Delavari S,
667 Moghadam TZ, Rahimi G. Relationship between commu-
668 nication skills and emotional intelligence among nurses.
669 *Nursing Management*. 2022;29(4).
- 670 [36] Ranka MS, Ranka SR. Survey of Mental Health of Den-
671 tists in the COVID-19 Pandemic in the UK. *Journal of
672 International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry*.
673 2021;11(1):104.
- 674 [37] Toon M, Collin V, Whitehead P, Reynolds L. An analysis
675 of stress and burnout in UK general dental practition-

- 676 ers: subdimensions and causes. *British Dental Journal*.
677 2019;226(2):125-30.
- 678 [38] Hekmatfar S, Piraneh H, Jafari K. Evaluation of the relation-
679 ship between pH and titrable acidity of five different of iron
680 supplements with the absorption of iron ions in the ante-
681 rior primary teeth (an *in vitro* study). *Dent Res J (Isfahan)*.
682 2018;15(5):367-71.
- 683 [39] Zandian H, Alipouri Sakha M, Nasiri E, Zahirian
684 Moghadam T. Nursing work intention, stress, and profes-
685 sionalism in response to the COVID-19 outbreak in Iran: A
686 cross-sectional study. *Work*. 2021;68:969-79.
- 687 [40] Potas N, Koçtürk N, Toygar SA. Anxiety effects on
688 quality of life during the COVID-19 outbreak: A parallel-
689 serial mediation model among nurses in Turkey. *Work*.
690 2021;69:37-45.
- 691 [41] Al-Rawi NH, Uthman AT, Saeed M, Abdulkareem AA, Al
692 Bayati S, Al Nuaimi A. Depression, Anxiety and Stress
693 Among Dentists During COVID-19 Lockdown. *The Open
694 Dentistry Journal*. 2022;16(1).
- 695 [42] Syed Zafar M. Lockdown ineffective as fifth COVID-19
696 wave peaks in Iran. 2021.
- 697 [43] Gharebaghi R, Heidary F, Pourezat AA. COVID-19 Resur-
698 gence in Iran, Lessons Learned. *Lessons Learned (May 19,
699 2022)*. 2022.
- 700 [44] Zandian H, Sarailoo M, Dargahi S, Gholizadeh H, Dargahi
701 A, Vosoughi M. Evaluation of knowledge and health behav-
702 ior of University of Medical Sciences students about the
703 prevention of COVID-19. *Work*. 2021;68:543-9.
- 704 [45] Sarsak HI. Psychological impact of the COVID-19 pan-
705 demic on medical and rehabilitation sciences university
706 students in Saudi Arabia. *Work*. 2022;71:473-80.
- 707 [46] Nemati R, Moradi A, Marzban M, Farhadi A. The associa-
708 tion between moral distress and mental health among nurses
709 working at selected hospitals in Iran during the COVID-19
710 pandemic. *Work*. 2021;70:1039-46.
- 711 [47] Feter N, Caputo E, Doring I, Leite J, Cassuriaga J, Reichert
712 F, et al. Sharp increase in depression and anxiety among
713 Brazilian adults during the COVID-19 pandemic: findings
714 from the PAMPA cohort. *Public Health*. 2021;190:101-7.
- 715 [48] Liu Y, Chen H, Zhang N, Wang X, Fan Q, Zhang Y, et al.
716 Anxiety and depression symptoms of medical staff under
717 COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Journal of Affective Disor-
718 ders*. 2021;278:144-8.
- [49] Kannampallil TG, Goss CW, Evanoff BA, Strickland JR, 719
McAlister RP, Duncan J. Exposure to COVID-19 patients 720
increases physician trainee stress and burnout. *PloS One*. 721
2020;15(8):e0237301. 722
- [50] Murat M, Köse S, Savaşer S. Determination of stress, 723
depression and burnout levels of front-line nurses during 724
the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Mental
725 Health Nursing*. 2021;30(2):533-43. 726
- [51] Schneiderman N, Ironson G, Siegel SD. Stress and health: 727
psychological, behavioral, and biological determinants. 728
Annual Review of Clinical Psychology. 2005;1:607. 729
- [52] Alencar CdM, Silva AM, Jural LA, Magno MB, Campos 730
EAD, Silva CM, et al. Factors associated with depression, 731
anxiety and stress among dentists during the COVID-19 732
pandemic. *Brazilian Oral Research*. 2021;35. 733
- [53] Fink P, Ørnbøl E, Toft T, Sparle KC, Frostholm L, Olesen F. 734
A new, empirically established hypochondriasis diagnosis. 735
Am J Psychiatry. 2004;161(9):1680-91. 736
- [54] Bello UM, Kannan P, Chutiyami M, Salihi D, Cheong 737
AM, Miller T, et al. Prevalence of Anxiety and Depres- 738
sion Among the General Population in Africa During the 739
COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta- 740
Analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2022;10. 741
- [55] Reme B-A, Wörn J, Skirbekk V. Longitudinal evidence on 742
the development of socioeconomic inequalities in mental 743
health due to the COVID-19 pandemic in Norway. *Scientific
744 Reports*. 2022;12(1):1-8. 745
- [56] Silva ANd, Guedes CR, Santos-Pinto CDB, Miranda ES, 746
Ferreira LM, Vettore MV. Demographics, Socioeconomic 747
Status, Social Distancing, Psychosocial Factors and Psycho- 748
logical Well-Being among Undergraduate Students during 749
the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Environ-
750 mental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(14):7215. 751