See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360166109

# A Meta-analysis for Prevalence of Lung Cancer Patients with SARS-CoV-2 Infection during the COVID-19 Pandemic

#### Article · May 2022

DOI: 10.14744/ejmo.2022.5053

CITATION 1		reads 90	
9 autho	rs, including:		
	Shahab Rafieian         Tehran University of Medical Sciences         26 PUBLICATIONS         SEE PROFILE		Reza Ershadi         Tehran University of Medical Sciences         24 PUBLICATIONS         G4 CITATIONS         SEE PROFILE
	Hamid Mirjalili Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences and Health Services 9 PUBLICATIONS 47 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	٥	Fatemeh Asadian Shiraz University of Medical Sciences 60 PUBLICATIONS 547 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE



DOI: 10.14744/ejmo.2022.50535 EJMO 2022;6(1):73-82

**Research Article** 



# A Meta-analysis for Prevalence of Lung Cancer Patients with SARS-CoV-2 Infection during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Mohsen Gholi-Nataj,<sup>1</sup> 
Shahab Rafieian,<sup>2</sup> 
Maedeh Barahman,<sup>3</sup> 
Ahmad Shirinzadeh-Dastgiri,<sup>4</sup>
Mohammad Vakili,<sup>5</sup> 
Reza Ershadi,<sup>6</sup> 
Hamid Mirjalili,<sup>7</sup> 
Fatemeh Asadian,<sup>8</sup> 
Hossein Neamatzadeh<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Yazd, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery, Imam Khomeini Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>3</sup>Firoozgar Clinical Research Development Center (FCRDC), Firoozgar Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>4</sup>Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Shohadaye Hafte-e-tie hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>5</sup>Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran <sup>6</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery, Valiasr Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>7</sup>Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, School of Paramedical Science, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran <sup>8</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Yazd, Iran <sup>9</sup>Mother and Newborn Health Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** Cancer patients were found to be at higher risk of infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and experienced more adverse outcomes. The objective of this meta-analysis was to estimate the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Methods:** A comprehensive search was carried out on PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, MedRxiv, SciELO, SID, CNKI, and Wanfang databases to retrieve all relevant publications. All cross-sectional studies and consecutive case series on cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection were selected.

A total of 28 studies including 5400 infected cancer patients and 767 lung cancer patients with COVID-19 were included. **Results:** Combined data indicated that the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was 15.2% (95% Cl, 0.111–0.205) overall. Stratified analysis by ethnicity showed that the prevalence was 16.4% and 15.4% in Asian and Caucasian lung cancer patients with COVID-19, respectively. Moreover, subgroup analysis by country of origin showed that the prevalence was highest in China (19.3.0%) followed by France (12.6%), the UK (10.7%), and the USA (8.3%).

**Conclusion:** This meta-analysis revealed that the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection during the COVID-19 pandemic was 15.2%.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, lung cancer, infection, meta-analysis

**Cite This Article:** Gholi-Nataj M, Rafieian S, Barahman M, Shirinzadeh-Dastgiri A, Vakili M, Ershadi R. A Meta-analysis for Prevalence of Lung Cancer Patients with SARS-CoV-2 Infection during the COVID-19 Pandemic. EJMO 2022;6(1):73–82.

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has caused a major public health issue globally.<sup>[1-4]</sup> Up to March 30, the World Health Organization (WHO) reported more than 131 million confirmed cases worldwide, and more than 2.8 million people lost their lives globally.

E-mail: a.shirinzadeh@gmail.com

Submitted Date: January 22, 2022 Accepted Date: February 20, 2022 Available Online Date: March 09, 2022 ©Copyright 2022 by Eurasian Journal of Medicine and Oncology - Available online at www.ejmo.org

OPEN ACCESS This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.



Address for correspondence: Ahmad Shirinzadeh-Dastgiri, MD. Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Shohadaye Hafte-e-tie hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>[5]</sup> Although mild upper respiratory tract infection is the most common clinical presentation of COVID-19, many infected patients have shown progressive respiratory failure. <sup>[6,7]</sup> Comorbidities that are widespread in infected patients with COVID-19 were hypertension, diabetes mellitus, respiratory system disease, and cardiovascular disease. <sup>[3,8,9]</sup> Therefore, the handling of persons with these underlying conditions during the COVID-19 pandemic is a crucial issue.<sup>[10,11]</sup> Moreover, it is obvious that people with chronically impaired immune host response have an increased risk of infection with COVID-19 and experience more adverse outcomes.<sup>[12,13]</sup>

It has been reported that patients with cancer have been negatively affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection, and the virus poses significant challenges for the management of those patients.<sup>[6,14]</sup> Cancer patients with COVID-19 have a higher risk of severe disease, increased ventilation requirements, and mortality when compared with the general population.<sup>[15–17]</sup> The immunological alternation in individuals with cancer and the use of intensely immunosuppressive medications might cause a combination of higher risk of infection with COVID-19 and likelihood of worse results of infection, such as cytokine storm and multiorgan failure. <sup>[6,11,18]</sup> Thus, managing individuals with cancer during the pandemic is a complex issue due to the vulnerable status of those patients and the nature of cancer.<sup>[19,20]</sup> Aging and smoking were associated with a higher risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection in cancer patients.<sup>[21-23]</sup> It is reported that individuals with an underlying malignancy diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection were older, more probably to be smokers, and had more severe computed tomography (CT) findings. <sup>[24,25]</sup> Thus, the clinical outcome such as intensive care unit (ICU) admission and death of COVID-19 in patients with an underlying malignancy were worse when compared with patients without malignancy.<sup>[26,27]</sup>

Since the report of the first case, several theories have been postulated that patients with cancer might be at increased risk of COVID-19 infection, particularly lung cancer patients. <sup>[28,29]</sup> Moreover, some studies have shown that the severity and mortality of COVID-19 are higher in cancer patients when compared with the general population.<sup>[30]</sup> However, it is reasonable to assume that different malignancies had different risks to the SARS-CoV-2 infection,[31] especially patients with lung cancer were reported to be at high risk of pulmonary complications related to SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>[10]</sup> Recently, a study in New York revealed that 55% of lung cancer patients were infected with SARS-CoV-2.<sup>[32,33]</sup> It has been shown that angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and interferon gamma-induced protein 10 are two possible biomarkers that play a crucial role in the higher risk and mortality of lung cancer patients infected with SARS-

CoV-2.<sup>[29]</sup> There is no estimation of the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Therefore, we carried out this meta-analysis to estimate the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection during the pandemic.

# **Materials and Methods**

### **Identification of Relevant Studies**

We performed a universal bibliographic search on PubMed/ MEDLINE, Google Scholar, MedRxiv, EMBASE, Scopus, Cochrane Library database, SciELO, Springer Link, Scientific Information Database (SID), Chinese Biomedical Database (CBD), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) platforms, VIP, Chinese literature (Wanfang) and China Science and Technology Journal database, and Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB) Journals to identify all relevant studies on SARS-CoV-2 infection in cancer patients published up to February 5, 2021. The combination of the following search terms and keywords were applied: "Coronavirus Disease 2019" or "COVID-19" or "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2" or "SARS-CoV-2" and "Lung Carcinomas" or "Lung Adenocarcinoma" or "Lung Cancer" or "Small Cell Lung Cancer" or "Non-small Cell Lung Cancer." We restricted our search to adult human studies. However, there was no language restriction in this meta-analysis. In addition, we manually screened all references of retrieved articles and reviews for further relevant articles.

# **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

The following inclusion criteria were used to select literature for the meta-analysis: (1) cross-sectional studies and consecutive case series; (2) studies on cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection; and (3) sufficient data were presented to calculate the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (Cl). The following exclusion criteria were used: (1) no usable data reported; (2) studies that did not report the number of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection; (3) in vitro studies; (4) posters, abstracts, case reports, nonconsecutive case series, conference papers, reviews, previous meta-analyses, and nonstandard data presentation; and (5) duplicate publications.

#### **Data Extraction**

Two authors independently reviewed the abstracts and full text of the selected studies in the primary search and extracted the necessary data into a standardized form. The duplicates were removed and the titles of articles were then evaluated. When the authors were not in agreement, a third author was involved to reach a consensus. We collected the following information from all selected primary papers: first author's name, year of publication, country of origin, ethnic group of the study population, mean age (or age range), gender, total number of cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, total number of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, and type of treatment. If a duplicate publication was found or the same population was used in multiple studies, the article with the larger sample size was selected for further analysis. The corresponding author was contacted through email or over the telephone for any missing data.

### Quality Assessment

Before the inclusion of selected studies in the meta-analysis, the methodological quality of the selected studies was assessed by the Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS).[34] The NOS ranges from zero to nine points: selection of patients (4 points), comparability of the groups (2 points), and ascertainment of exposure (3 points). Each selected study was interpreted to be of low quality (for scores  $\leq$  4), moderate quality (for scores 5–6), or high quality (for scores  $\geq$  7). Two authors assessed the quality of included studies independently, and all disagreements were resolved by discussion or by consulting with the third author.<sup>[35,36]</sup>

#### **Data Synthesis**

The prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was assessed by OR with 95% CI based on the infection frequencies between cases (all cancer patients with COVID-19) and controls (lung cancer patients with COVID-19). The significance of pooled OR was determined using the Z-test in which p < 0.05 was defined as the significance threshold. Between-study heterogeneity was evaluated by the X<sup>2</sup>-based Q-statistic in which p≤0.10 indicated significant heterogeneity. Moreover, the I<sup>2</sup> statistic (range of 0%–100%) was utilized to qualify the heterogeneity (l<sup>2</sup>=0%-25%, no heterogeneity; l<sup>2</sup>=25%-50%, moderate heterogeneity; I<sup>2</sup>=50%-75%, large heterogeneity; and I<sup>2</sup>=75%-100%, extreme heterogeneity). If between-study heterogeneity existed statistically, a random effect model (DerSimonian and Laird method) was adopted; otherwise, a fixed effect model (the Mantel-Haenszel method) was used in the absence of heterogeneity. A visual inspection of the funnel plot was used to assess potential publication bias. Moreover, Egger's test was performed to assess the publication bias statistically in which p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.<sup>[37]</sup> If the publication bias tests indicated the existence of the bias, the Duval and Tweedie "trim and fill" method was used to adjust the bias. All of the statistical calculations were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software version 2.0 (Biostat, USA). Two-sided pvalues < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# Results

### **Characteristics of Eligible Studies**

As shown in Figure 1, our initial search yielded 567 studies. After removing duplicate studies, the remaining studies were 319. Among them, 206 studies were excluded after the assessment of the titles and abstracts. Subsequently, 85 studies were excluded because they did not provide useful data for analyses, reviews, case reports, nonconsecutive case series, and cancers other than lung cancer. Finally, a total of 28 studies<sup>[15,32,38-63]</sup> including 5400 infected cancer patients and 767 lung cancer patients with COVID-19 were considered. The main characteristics of the selected studies are presented in Table 1. All included studies were retrospective and case series published in English and Chinese between July 2020 and November 2020. The sample size of the infected cancer patients ranged from 12 to 1044 with 5-180 lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Of the total studies, 13 studies were performed among Asians (1508 all cancer patients with 207 lung cancer patients), 14 among Caucasians (3711 all cancer patients with 553 lung cancer patients), and 1 in a mixed population (181 all cancer patients with 7 lung cancer patients). The majority of study patients came from China (n=11, with 689 all cancer patients with 106 lung cancer patients) followed by the USA (n=5), France (n=3), the UK (n=2), Italy (n=1), Spain (n=1), Pakistan (n=1), Iran (n=1), and Brazil (n=1). As shown in Table 1, quality scores ranged from 6 to 9, indicating that all included studies had high-quality scores.



**Figure 1.** Flowchart of literature search and selection process in the meta-analysis.

Table 1. Main character	istics of the included	d studies in	the current meta	a-analysis							
Reference	Country (ethnicity)	Sample size*	Age (range)	F/M	Lung cancer with COVID-19			Cancer treatment in total cases			SON
						Surgery	Radiotherapy	Chemotherapy	Targeted therapy	Immunotherapy	
Yang et al., 2020	China (Asian)	52	63 (56–70)	24/28	10	2 (3.8)	0 (0.0)	6 (11.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	7
Yang et al., 2020	China (Asian)	205	63 (56–70)	109/96	24	4 (2.0)	9 (4.4)	31 (15.1)	12 (5.9)	4 (2.0)	8
Tian et al., 2020	China (Asian)	232	64 (58–69)	113/119	23	197 (84.9)	214 (92.2)	32 (13.8)	8		
Yu et al., 2020	China (Asian)	12	66 (48–78)	2/10	7	0 (0:0)	3 (25.0)	3 (25.0)	1 (8.3)	2 (16.7)	7
Liang et al., 2020	China (Asian)	18	62 (56–68)	6/12	5	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	2 (11.1)	2 (11.1)	1 (5.6)	8
Ma et al., 2020	China (Asian)	37	62 (59–70)	17/20	8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8
Zhang et al., 2020	China (Asian)	28	65 (56–70)	11/17	7	5 (17.9)	4 (14.3)	10 (35.7)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.6)	7
Zhang et al., 2020	China (Asian)	107	66 (36–98)	47/60	21	5 (4.7)	NA	15 (14.0)	NA	6 (5.6)	9
Zhang et al., 2020	China (Asian)	67	66 (37–90)	26/41	15	NA	2 (2.9)	9 (13.4)	NA	2 (2.9)	9
Dai et al., 2020	China (Asian)	105	64 (55–69)	48/57	22	8 (7.6)	13 (12.4)	17 (16.2)	4 (3.8)	6 (5.7)	80
Wang et al., 2020	China (Asian)	283	63 (55–70)	142/141	51	23 (8)		46 (16)	12 (4)		9
Ali et al., 2020	Pakistan (Asian)	201	45 (18–78)	115/86	m	22 (10.9)	13 (6.5)	146 (72.6)	2 (1)	0 (0.0)	80
Aznab et al., 2020	lran (Asian)	161	NA	NA	11	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9
Barlesi et al., 2020	France (Caucasian)	137	61 (21–90)	79/58	12	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	48 (35.0)	18 (13.1)	12 (8.8)	8
Basse et al., 2020	France (Caucasian)	141	62 (52–72)	102/39	18	11 (7.8)	13 (9.2)	69 (48.9)	22 (15.6)	8 (5.7)	7
Assaad et al., 2020	France (Caucasian)	302	58.2	158/144	42	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9
Garassino et al., 2020	TERAVOLT	200	68 (62–75)	59/141	180	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	68 (34.0)	28 (14.0)	54 (27.0)	6
Mehta et al., 2020	USA (Caucasian)	218	69 (10–92)	91/127	11	0 (0.0)	49 (22.5)	42 (19.3)	0 (0:0)	5 (2.3)	8
Robilotti et al., 2020	USA (Caucasian)	423	0–64	211/212	35	NA	NA	191	NA	31	9
Jee et al., 2020	USA (Caucasian)	309	NA	150/159	29	NA	NA	102 (33.0)	49 (15.9)	18 (5.8)	9
Miyashita et al., 2020	USA (Caucasian)	334	NA	NA	23	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9
Kabaritti et al., 2020	USA (Caucasian)	107	70 (30–95)	54/53	14	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9
Russeli et al., 2020	UK (Caucasian)	156	65	06/99	17	NA	NA	45 (55.6)	5 (6.2)	7 (8.6)	7
Lee et al., 2020	UK (Caucasian)	1044	70 (60–77)	445/595	111	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9
Elkrief et al., 2020	Caucasian**	252	73 (4–95)	125/127	36	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9
Stroppa et al., 2020	Italy (Caucasian)	25	71 (50–84)	5/20	œ	NA	NA	8 (66.67)	NA	4 (33.33)	9
Yarza et al., 2020	Spain (Caucasian)	63	66 (63–68)	29/34	17	NA	NA	26	£	7	9
de Melo et al., 2020	Brazil (mixed)	181	55 (2–88)	110/71	7	12 (6.6)	10 (5.5)	63 (34.8)	9 (5.0)	NA	9
*All cancer cases with SAR5	5-CoV-2 infection; **Mu	ltinational (Et	uropean countries),	; TERAVOLT:	Thoracic cancers intern	ational COVID-	19 collaboration; N/	4: Not available; F/M: Fe	male/male; NOS: Newcas	tle-Ottawa scale.	

#### **Quantitative Data Synthesis**

The pooled data on the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection are shown in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, the Q-statistic test showed that there was a significant heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>=79.53%, p≤0.001). Thus, we used the random effect model (DerSimonian and Laird method) for the prevalence in the overall population. Combined data from 28 publications showed that the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was 15.2% (95% CI, 0.111–0.205, Fig. 2) overall. Stratified analysis by ethnicity showed that the prevalence was 16.4% (95% CI, 0.120-0.220, Fig. 3a) in Asian and 15.4% (95% CI, 0.093-0.245, Fig. 3b) in Caucasian lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, subgroup analysis by country of origin showed that the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was highest in China (19.3.0%, 95% CI, 0.152–0.243, Fig. 4a) followed by France (12.6%, 95% CI, 0.101-0.156, Fig. 4b), the UK (10.7%, 95% Cl, 0.090-0.125, Fig. 4c), and the USA (8.3%, 95% CI, 0.069–0.099, Fig. 4d).

# **Heterogeneity Test**

In this meta-analysis, there was statistically significant between-study heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>=93.83 and pH≤0.001) overall. Thus, we carried out stratified analysis by ethnicity and country of origin to explore the potential source of heterogeneity in this study. Results showed that the heterogeneity did not reduce by ethnicity in Asian (I<sup>2</sup>=79.79 and  $pH \le 0.001$ ) and Caucasian (I<sup>2</sup>=96.39 and  $pH \le 0.001$ ) lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection (Table 2). However, subgroup analyses by country of origin revealed that the heterogeneity was reduced or disappeared in the US (I<sup>2</sup>=46.60 and PH=0.113), France (I<sup>2</sup>=12.34 and PH=0.320), and the UK (I<sup>2</sup>=0.00 and PH=0.920) lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, but not in Chinese (I<sup>2</sup>=65.78 and  $pH \le 0.001$ ). These results indicated that ethnicity might be the main source of heterogeneity in this study (Table 2).



**Figure 2.** Forest plot for the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection during the COVID-19 pandemic in overall cancer patients.

Study name		Statist	ics for e	ach study				Event	rate and 95	% CI		
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	Total						Relative
Yang et al., 2020a	0.192	0.107	0.322	4.078-	0.000	10 / 52	1	1	10	• T -	- I	7.64
Yang et al., 2020b	0.117	0.080	0.169	9.301-	0.000	24 / 205						9.23
Tian et al., 2020	0.099	0.067	0.145	10.045-	0.000	23/232						9.20
Yu et al., 2020	0.583	0.308	0.815	0.575	0.566	7/12				<u> </u>	-   -	5.12
Liang et al., 2020	0.278	0.121	0.519	1.816-	0.069	5/18				$\mathbf{H}^{-}$		5.69
Ma et al., 2020	0.216	0.112	0.376	3.225-	0.001	8/37			1 - 1	-		7.07
Zhang et al., 2020	a0.250	0.124	0.439	2.517-	0.012	7/28				-		6.65
Zhang et al., 2020	b0.196	0.132	0.282	5.792-	0.000	21/107						8.94
Zhang et al., 2020	c0.224	0.140	0.339	4.242-	0.000	15/67			117	- 1		8.35
Daietal 2020	0 210	0.142	0.298	5 537-	0.000	22/105						8.98
Wang et al 2020	0 180	0 140	0.229	9 795-	0.000	51/283						9.85
Ali et al 2020	0.015	0.005	0.045	7 202.	0.000	3/201			卢브			5.16
Aznah et al 2020	0.068	0.038	0 110	8 384.	0.000	11 / 161			Ъ			8 12
	0 164	0.120	0.220	0.001	0.000	117 101						0.12
В							-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	
B Study name		Statistic	s for ear	-h study			-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	
B Study name	Event	Statistic	s for eac	:h study			-1.00	-0.50	0.00 ate and 95%	0.50 <u>C</u> I	1.00	Relative
B Study name	Event rate	Statistic Lower limit	s for ead Upper limit 2	h study ⊷Value p•1	Value 1	Total	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088	Statistic Lower limit 0.050	s for ead Upper limit 2 0.148	:h study -Value p-1 7.754-	Value 1 0.000 12	<b>Total</b> 2/137	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Basse et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082	s for ead Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194	-h study -Value p-1 7.754-	Value 1 0.000 12 0.000 12	Total 2/137 3/141	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14
B Barlesi et al., 2020 Basse et al., 2020 Assaad et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082 0.104	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 1	-h study -Value p-1 7.754- 10.962-	Value 1 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 42	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Assaad et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139 00.900	Statistic limit 0.050 0.082 0.104 0.809	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 1 0.935 0.925	-Value p- 7.754- 7.615- 0.962- 9.425	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 42 0.000 18	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 0/200	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Basse et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2020 Wehte et al., 2020 Wehte et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139 (0.900 0.050	Statistic limit 0.050 0.082 0.104 0.850 0.020	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 1 0.935 0.089 0.113	-h study -Value p- 7.754- 7.615- 9.322- 9.485- 9.485- 9.323-	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 42 0.000 18 0.000 11	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 0/200 1/218 5/423	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19 6.96 7.24
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Basse et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2020 Robiotti et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139 00.900 0.050 0.050	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082 0.104 0.850 0.028 0.028	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 1 0.935 0.089 0.113 1	th study -Value p-1 7.754- 1.615- 9.322 9.485- 1.831- 1.824- 1	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 13 0.000 35 0.000 35	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 0/200 1/218 5/423	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19 6.96 7.34
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Basse et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2020 Mehta et al., 2020 Mehta et al., 2020 Jee et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139 00.900 0.050 0.050 0.083 0.094	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082 0.104 0.850 0.028 0.028 0.080 0.086 0.086	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 1 0.935 0.089 0.113 1 0.132	th study -Value p-1 7.754- 10.962- 9.322 9.485- 13.631- 11.624- 12.052- 12.052- 13.631- 11.624- 11.624- 12.052- 12.	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 38 0.000 29 0.000 29	Total 2/137 3/141 0/200 1/218 5/423 8/304	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	<u>0.50</u>	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19 6.96 7.34 7.24
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Basse et al., 2020 Assaad et al., 2020 Mohta et al., 2020 Mohta et al., 2020 Miyashifa et al., 2020 Miyashifa et al., 2020	Event rate 0.068 0.128 0.139 00.900 0.050 0.083 0.094 00.069 0.131	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.085 0.028 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.046	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.193 0.193 0.132 0.089 0.113 1 0.132 0.101 1 0.209	<b>-Value p-V</b> 7.754- 10.962- 9.322 9.485- 13.831- 11.624- 12.052- 6.605-	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 25 0.000 23 0.000 23	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 0/200 1/218 5/423 3/309 8/334 4/107	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19 6.96 7.34 7.29 7.24 7.29
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2020 Robilotti et al., 2020 Robilotti et al., 2020 Kabariti et al., 2020 Kabariti et al., 2020 Kabariti et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139 00.900 0.050 0.083 0.094 00.089 0.131 0.109	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082 0.104 0.850 0.028 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.079 0.069	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.194 0.194 0.193 0.194 0.183 1 0.935 0.089 0.113 0.132 0.132 0.101 1 0.209 0.168	th study -Value p-1 7.754- 1 7.615- 1 0.962- 9 9.485- 1 9.322 1 9.485- 1 1.624- 1 2.052- 6 6.605- 1 8.178- 1 8.178- 1 1.624- 1 1.624	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 35 0.000 25 0.000 23 0.000 14 0.000 14	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 0/200 1/218 3/309 3/334 4/107 1/156	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19 6.96 7.34 7.29 7.24 7.03 7.13
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Assad et al., 2020 Mohto et al., 2020 Mohto et al., 2020 Mohto et al., 2020 Miyashina et al., 202 Miyashina et al., 202 Russeli et al., 2020 Russeli et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139 0.090 0.050 0.083 0.094 0.089 0.131 0.109 0.109	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082 0.104 0.850 0.028 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.046 0.079 0.069 0.069	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 1 0.935 0.089 0.113 1 0.322 0.101 1 0.209 0.127	h study -Value p- 7.754- 0.962- 9.322 9.485- 13.831- 12.052- 6.605- 8.178- 12.03- 1	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 29 0.000 29 0.000 14 0.000 17 0.000 17	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 1/218 5/423 9/309 3/334 4/107 7/156	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19 6.96 7.34 7.29 7.24 7.03 7.13 7.43
B Study name Earlesi et al., 2020 Basse et al., 2020 Garassino et al., 2023 Garassino et al., 2020 Meha et al., 2020 Meha et al., 2020 Myashita et al., 2020 Kabariti et al., 2020 Lee et al., 2020 Leivist et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.129 0.050 0.050 0.083 0.094 0.069 0.131 0.109 0.109 0.143	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082 0.104 0.028 0.060 0.086 0.046 0.079 0.069 0.089 0.105	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 1 0.935 0.089 0.113 1 0.209 0.101 1 0.209 0.168 0.127 2 0.192	th study -Value p-1 7.754 1 7.615- 10.962- 9.322 1 9.322 1 9.322 1 9.322 1 9.322 1 9.322 1 9.322 1 9.322 1 9.322 1 9.485- 1.2052- 6.605- 8.178- 8.178- 1.2052- 9.953-	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 25 0.000 25 0.000 14 0.000 17 0.000 17	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 0/200 1/218 5/423 3/309 4/107 7/156 1/1044 1/107	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.34 7.29 7.24 7.03 7.13 7.43 7.43
B Study name Earlesi et al., 2020 Dasse et al., 2020 Assad et al., 2020 Mehta et al., 2020 Mina et al., 2020 Miyashifa et al., 2020 Cabartti et al., 2020 Ekirlef et al., 2020 Ekirlef et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139 0.0900 0.083 0.094 0.089 0.131 0.109 0.106 0.143 0.320	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082 0.028 0.080 0.086 0.046 0.079 0.089 0.069 0.089 0.165 0.165	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 0.0935 0.089 0.113 0.103 0.101 0.209 0.168 0.127 0.192 0.522	th study -Value p-1 7.754- 9.322 9.485- 1.0.962- 0.485- 1.1.824- 1.1	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 11 0.000 29 0.000 12 0.000 17 0.000 17 0.000 11 0.000 36 0.007 36	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 0/200 1/218 3/309 3/334 4/107 1/156 1/1044 5/252	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19 6.96 7.34 7.29 7.24 7.03 7.13 7.47 7.33 6.50
B Study name Barlesi et al., 2020 Besse et al., 2020 Meha et al., 2020 Sarassino et al., 2020 Meha et al., 2020 Myashita et al., 2020 Gabarti et al., 2020 Stoppa et al., 2020 Stroppa et al., 2020 Stroppa et al., 2020	Event rate 0.088 0.128 0.139 0.050 0.083 0.094 0.094 0.094 0.131 0.109 0.143 0.320 0.270	Statistic Lower limit 0.050 0.082 0.104 0.850 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.086 0.089 0.089 0.089 0.105 0.169 0.175	s for eac Upper limit 2 0.148 0.194 0.183 1 0.935 0.089 0.113 1 0.132 0.101 1 0.209 0.168 0.127 2 0.192 0.392	th study -Value p- 7.754- 10.962- 9.485- 9.485- 13.631- 11.624- 12.052- 6.605- 8.178- 11.203- 9.953- 1.758- 1.75	Value 7 0.000 12 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 18 0.000 29 0.000 20 0.000 14 0.000 14 0.000 11 0.000 11 0.000 11 0.000 11	Total 2/137 3/141 2/302 0/200 5/423 3/304 4/107 7/156 3/334 4/107 7/156 3/252 3/25 2/52	-1.00	-0.50		<u><u>c</u>i</u>	1.00	Relative weight 6.98 7.14 7.36 7.19 6.96 7.34 7.24 7.03 7.24 7.03 7.13 7.47 7.33 6.50

**Figure 3.** Forest plot for the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection during the COVID-19 pandemic by ethnicity: **(a)** Asian and **(b)** Caucasian.

Table 2.         Summary estimates for the proportion of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 Infection												
Subgroup	Type of model	Heterogeneity			Odds	Publication bias						
		l² (%)	рН	OR	95% CI	Z-test	pOR	pBegg's	pEgger's			
Overall By ethnicity	Random	93.83	≤0.001	0.152	0.111–0.205	-9.354	≤0.001	0.441	0.464			
Asian	Random	79.79	≤0.001	0.164	0.120-0.220	-8.823	≤0.001	0.502	0.805			
Caucasian By country of orig	Random Jin	96.39	≤0.001	0.154	0.093–0.245	-5.775	≤0.001	0.661	0.451			
China	Random	65.78	≤0.001	0.193	0.152-0.243	-9.596	≤0.001	0.008	0.050			
USA	Fixed	46.40	0.113	0.083	0.069–0.099	-24.337	≤0.001	0.806	0.846			
France	Fixed	12.34	0.320	0.126	0.101–0.156	-15.363	≤0.001	0.296	0.314			
UK	Fixed	0.00	0.920	0.107	0.090-0.125	-22.725	≤0.001	NA	NA			



**Figure 4.** Forest plot for the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection during the COVID-19 pandemic by country of origin: (a) China, (b) France, (c) UK, and (d) USA.

# **Publication Bias**

Publication bias is a widespread problem in meta-analyses that might seriously distort our attempts to estimate the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. In the current meta-analysis, we carried out both Egger's test and Begg's tests to assess the potential publication bias in the literature. As shown in Figure 5, the shape of Begg's funnel plot revealed that there was no evidence of any publication bias in this meta-analysis. Moreover, the Egger's test statistically confirmed evidence of funnel plot (pBegg's=0.441, pEgger's=0.464), indicating that the current study conclusions were statistically reliable.

# Discussion

Previous studies have described that the course of CO-VID-19 in lung cancer was longer and more severe than the general population.<sup>[64,65]</sup> It is suggested that the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection might be associated with the immune response to SARS-CoV-2.<sup>[66,67]</sup> Many studies have reported that mortality rates range from 17.7% to 55%



**Figure 5.** The funnel plots of publication bias for the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection during the COVID-19 pandemic in overall cancer patients.

among lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>[68]</sup> Peravali et al., in a systematic review, described that SARS-CoV-2 infection in lung cancer patients was associated with a severe course of the disease and increased mortality than other malignancies.<sup>[68]</sup> Luo et al., in a study on 102 infected lung cancer patients with COVID-19, reported that the severity of the disease was largely dependent on patient-specific particularity such as smoking and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. However, the severity of the infection did not associate with previous thoracic surgery, radiation, and recent systemic therapies.<sup>[69]</sup> Thus, it is necessary to carefully perform differential diagnosis and assess the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection in lung cancer patients.<sup>[67]</sup>

To date, several studies have addressed the prevalence of patients with lung cancer in different populations tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection.[15,41,59-63] However, there is conflicting evidence on the prevalence of lung cancer with SARS-CoV-2 infection. In the current meta-analysis, we estimated the prevalence of lung cancer with SARS-CoV-2 infection based on 28 studies with 5400 infected cancer patients and 767 lung cancer patients. By pooling those studies, the results showed that the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was 15.2% overall. Stratified analysis by ethnicity found that the prevalence was 16.4% and 15.4% in Asian and Caucasian lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, respectively. Moreover, subgroup analysis by country of origin showed that the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was highest in China (19.3.0%) followed by France (12.6%), the UK (10.7%), and the USA (8.3%). Venkatesulu et al., in a meta-analysis, reported that the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was 23.7%, which was highest after hematological malignancies and breast cancers. Moreover, they have shown that the mortality was highest in hematological

malignancies (OR = 2.43) followed by lung cancer (OR =1.8).<sup>[70]</sup> A multicancer study in New York showed that 21% of lung cancer patients were identified from 105 cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>[15]</sup> Wang and Huang, in a meta-analysis, evaluated the prevalence of COVID-19 in seven different malignancies. Their data revealed that the prevalence of the SARS-CoV-2 infection among lung cancer and colorectal cancer patients was more frequent than other cancers.<sup>[71]</sup> Yu et al., in a study among 1524 people with cancer who admitted to the Department of Radiation and Medical Oncology at Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University in Wuhan, China, estimated that the infection rate of COVID-19 in patients with cancer was 0.79% (95% CI, 0.3–1.2) versus 0.37% (95% CI, 1.89–3.02) of the general population of Wuhan over the same time. Moreover, they revealed that patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) seemed to have a higher incidence of COVID-19, especially among those patients over 60 years of age (4.3% vs 1.8% in those aged less than 60 years with NSCLC). Their results showed an association of SARS-CoV-2 infection with age and concurrent NSCLC diagnosis.<sup>[59]</sup> Zhang et al., in a retrospective case study among people with cancer (most frequently, advanced lung cancer), reported that the patients were at higher risk of severe complications and unfavorable outcomes than those hospitalized without a malignancy.<sup>[61]</sup> Liang et al., and Calabrò et al., demonstrated that the history of smoking might increase the risk of COVID-19 complications in patients with lung cancer (1.4-fold) and the need for mechanical ventilation due to COVID-19.[24,60]

Our findings should be interpreted carefully due to the following limitations. First, we found only studies published in English or Chinese language which might cause potential selection bias. Second, most of the included studies in the current meta-analysis were performed in Asian and Caucasian cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, which may cause ethnicity bias. Third, we did not assess the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection in African and mixed populations due to the lack of relevant data across the included studies. This led to difficulty in conducting a comparison of the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection between different ethnicities. Finally, the assessment of the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was based on unadjusted estimates, whereas clinically relevant confounding factors, such as age, gender, stage of disease, cigarette smoking, treatment regimen, comorbidities, and lifestyle, remain difficult to assess due to the lack of original data. Therefore, further validation of our findings and more in-depth research is necessary.

# Conclusion

Considering all the results, this meta-analysis revealed that the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was 15.2%. The prevalence in Asian and Caucasian lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was 16.4% and 15.4%, respectively. Moreover, the prevalence of lung cancer patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was highest in China (19.3.0%) followed by France (12.6%), the UK (10.7%), and the USA (8.3%). This finding amplifies the importance of maintaining an urgent focus on the needs of patients with lung cancer and optimizing cancer care during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, further multicenter studies across different ethnic groups with larger sample sizes are required to make a better assessment of the prevalence.

#### Disclosures

**Peer-review:** Externally peer-reviewed. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

# References

- Karimi-Zarchi M, Neamatzadeh H, Dastgheib SA, Abbasi H, Mirjalili SR, Behforouz A, et al. Vertical transmission of coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) from infected pregnant mothers to neonates: a review. Fetal Pediatr Pathol 2020;39:246–50.
- Noorishadkam M, Lookzadeh MH, Mazaheri M, Mirjalili SR, Bahrami R, Asadian F, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COV-ID-19) and late pregnancy loss in infected pregnant women: a mini review. WJPN 2020;2:67–70.
- Mirjalili H, Dastgheib SA, Shaker SH, Bahrami R, Mazaheri M, Sadr-Bafghi SMH, et al. Proportion and mortality of Iranian diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, hypertension and cardiovascular disease patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2021;20:905–17.
- Sayad S, Ahmadi SAY, Nekouian R, Panahi M, Anbari K. Epidemiological and pathological characteristics of post-surgical cases of invasive breast cancer among ethnicities of Iran in 2018: A single center cross-sectional study. Arch Oncol 2020;26:6–9.
- Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Situation Reports. Available at: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports. Accessed Apr 5, 2021.
- Cancarevic I, Tathineni P, Malik BH. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in cancer patients. Cureus 2020;12:e7835.
- Leung TF, Wong GW, Hon KL, Fok TF. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in children: epidemiology, presentation and management. Paediatr Respir Rev 2003;4:334–9
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COV-ID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1054–62.

- Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, Pu K, Chen Z, Guo Q, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Infect Dis 2020;94:91–5.
- de Marinis F, Attili I, Morganti S, Stati V, Spitaleri G, Gianoncelli L, et al. Results of multilevel containment measures to better protect lung cancer patients from COVID-19: The IEO model. Front Oncol 2020;10:665.
- Derosa L, Melenotte C, Griscelli F, Gachot B, Marabelle A, Kroemer G, et al. The immuno-oncological challenge of CO-VID-19. Nat Cancer 2020;1:946–4.
- 12. Milionis C, Milioni SO. A brief analysis and hypotheses about the risk of COVID-19 for people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2020;19:2035–9.
- Yang L, Liu S, Liu J, Zhang Z, Wan X, Huang B, et al. COVID-19: immunopathogenesis and immunotherapeutics. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2020;5:128.
- 14. Aiello A, Farzaneh F, Candore G, Caruso C, Davinelli S, Gambino CM, et al. Immunosenescence and its hallmarks: how to oppose aging strategically? a review of potential options for therapeutic intervention. Front Immunol 2019;10:2247.
- Dai M, Liu D, Liu M, Zhou F, Li G, Chen Z, et al. Patients with cancer appear more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2: a multicenter study during the COVID-19 outbreak. Cancer Discov 2020;10:783–91.
- 16. Al-Quteimat OM, Amer AM. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Cancer Patients. Am J Clin Oncol. 2020;43:452–5.
- 17. Sayad S, Ahmadi SAY, Moradi M, Nekouian R, Anbari K, Shahsavar F. A meta-analysis on diagnostic accuracy of serum HLA-G level in breast cancer. Expert Rev Precis Med Drug Dev 2020;5:109–14.
- 18. Jyotsana N, King MR. The impact of COVID-19 on cancer risk and treatment. Cel Mol Bioeng 2020;13:285–91.
- Gosain R, Abdou Y, Singh A, Rana N, Puzanov I, Ernstoff MS. COVID-19 and cancer: a comprehensive review. Curr Oncol Rep 2020;22:53.
- 20. Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, Shyr Y, Rubinstein SM, Rivera DR, et al; COVID-19 and cancer consortium. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1907–18.
- 21. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020;323:1061–9.
- 22. Brake SJ, Barnsley K, Lu W, McAlinden KD, Eapen MS, Sohal SS. Smoking upregulates angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 receptor: a potential adhesion site for novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19). J Clin Med 2020;9:841.
- 23. Simons D, Shahab L, Brown J, Perski O. The association of smoking status with SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalization and mortality from COVID-19: a living rapid evidence

review with Bayesian meta-analyses (version 7). Addiction 2021;116:1319–68.

- 24. Calabrò L, Peters S, Soria JC, Di Giacomo AM, Barlesi F, Covre A, et al. Challenges in lung cancer therapy during the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8:542–4.
- 25. Liao Z, Rivin Del Campo E, Salem A, Pang Q, Liu H, Lopez Guerra JL. Optimizing lung cancer radiation treatment worldwide in COVID-19 outbreak. Lung Cancer 2020;146:230–5.
- 26. Giannakoulis VG, Papoutsi E, Siempos II. Effect of cancer on clinical outcomes of patients with COVID-19: A meta-analysis of patient data. JCO Global Oncology 2020:799–808.
- 27. Baghestani AR, Shahmirzalou P, Sayad S, Akbari ME, Zayeri F. Comparison cure rate models by DIC criteria in breast cancer data. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2018;19:1601–6.
- 28. Lee LYW, Cazier JB, Starkey T, Turnbull CD, Kerr R, Middleton G. COVID-19 mortality in patients with cancer on chemotherapy or other anticancer treatments: a prospective cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1919–26.
- 29. Mahmood TB, Chowdhury AS, Hossain MU, Hasan M, Mizan S, Aakil MM, et al. Evaluation of the susceptibility and fatality of lung cancer patients towards the COVID-19 infection: A systemic approach through analyzing the ACE2, CXCL10 and their co-expressed genes. Curr Res Microb Sci 2021;2:100022.
- 30. Jarahzadeh MH, Asadian F, Farbod M, Meibodi B, Abbasi H, Jafari M, et al. Cancer and Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): comorbidity, mechanical ventilation, and death risk. J Gastrointest Cancer 2021;52:80–4.
- 31. Antikchi MH, Neamatzadeh H, Ghelmani Y, Jafari-Nedooshan J, Dastgheib SA, Kargar S, et al. The risk and prevalence of CO-VID-19 infection in colorectal cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastrointest Cancer 2021;52:73–9.
- 32. Mehta V, Goel S, Kabarriti R, Cole D, Goldfinger M, Acuna-Villaorduna A, et al. Case fatality rate of cancer patients with COVID-19 in a New York hospital system. Cancer Discov 2020;10:935–41.
- 33. Ahmadi SAY, Sayad S, Shahsavar F, Nekouian R, Panahi M, Sayad S, et al. Expression of angiogenesis-related genes in a group of Iranian cases of breast cancer. Curr Pharmacogenomics Person Med 2021;17:197–205.
- 34. Behtash N, Zarchi MK, Deldar M. Preoperative prognostic factors and effects of adjuvant therapy on outcomes of early stage cervical cancer in Iran. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2009;10:613–8.
- 35. Mousavi A, Karimi Zarchi M, Gilani MM, Behtash N, Ghaemmaghami F, Shams M, et al. Radical hysterectomy in the elderly. World J Surg Oncol 2008;6:38.
- Ghaemmaghami F, Zarchi MK, Gilani MM, Mousavi A, Behtash N, Ghasemi M. Uterine sarcoma: Clinicopathological characteristics, treatment and outcome in Iran. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2008;9:421–6.
- 37. Khoram-Abadi KM, Forat-Yazdi M, Kheirandish S, Saeidi N, Za-

rezade Z, Mehrabi N, et al. DNMT3B -149 C>T and -579 G>T polymorphisms and risk of gastric and colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2016; 17:3015–20.

- Zhang H, Wang L, Chen Y, Wu Q, Chen G, Shen X, et al. Outcomes of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection in 107 patients with cancer from Wuhan, China. Cancer 2020;126:4023–31.
- Wang J, Song Q, Chen Y, Wang Z, Chu Q, Gong H, et al. Systematic investigations of COVID-19 in 283 cancer patients. MedRxiv. 2020 May 3. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.2008. [Epub ahead of print].
- 40. Ali J, Sajjad K, Farooqi AR, Aziz MT, Rahat A, Khan S. COVID-19-positive cancer patients undergoing active anticancer treatment: An analysis of clinical features and outcomes. Hematol Oncol Stem Cell The. 2021;14:311–7.
- Aznab M. Evaluation of COVID 19 infection in 279 cancer patients treated during a 90-day period in 2020 pandemic. Int J Clin Oncol 2020;25:1581–6.
- 42. Barlesi F, Foulon S, Bayle A, Gachot B, Pommeret F, Willekens C, et al. Abstract CT403: Outcome of cancer patients infected with COVID-19, including toxicity of cancer treatments. Cancer Res 2020;80:CT403.
- 43. Basse C, Diakite S, Servois V, Frelaut M, Noret A, Bellesoeur A, et al. Characteristics and outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infection in cancer patients. JNCI Cancer Spectr 2021;5:pkaa090.
- 44. Assaad S, Avrillon V, Fournier ML, Mastroianni B, Russias B, Swalduz A, et al. High mortality rate in cancer patients with symptoms of COVID-19 with or without detectable SARS-COV-2 on RT-PCR. Eur J Cancer 2020;135:251–9.
- 45. Garassino MC, Whisenant JG, Huang LC, Trama A, Torri V, Agustoni F, et al; TERAVOLT investigators. COVID-19 in patients with thoracic malignancies (TERAVOLT): first results of an international, registry-based, cohort study. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:914–22.
- 46. Robilotti EV, Babady NE, Mead PA, Rolling T, Perez-Johnston R, Bernardes M, et al. Determinants of COVID-19 disease severity in patients with cancer. Nat Med 2020;26:1218–23.
- 47. Jee J, Foote MB, Lumish M, Stonestrom AJ, Wills B, Narendra V, et al. Chemotherapy and COVID-19 outcomes in patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol 2020;38:3538–46.
- Yang F, Shi S, Zhu J, Shi J, Dai K, Chen X. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of cancer patients with COVID-19. J Med Virol 2020;92:2067–73.
- 49. Miyashita H, Mikami T, Chopra N, Yamada T, Chernyavsky S, Rizk D, et al. Do patients with cancer have a poorer prognosis of COVID-19? An experience in New York City. Ann Oncol 2020;31:1088–9.
- 50. Kabarriti R, Brodin NP, Maron MI, Tomé WA, Halmos B, Guha C, et al. Extent of prior lung irradiation and mortality in COVID-19 patients with a cancer history. Adv Radiat Oncol 2020;5:707– 10.

- Russell B, Moss C, Papa S, Irshad S, Ross P, Spicer J, et al. Factors affecting COVID-19 Outcomes in cancer patients: a first report from Guy's Cancer Center in London. Front Oncol 2020;10:1279.
- 52. Lee LYW, Cazier JB, Starkey T, Briggs SEW, Arnold R, Bisht V, et al. COVID-19 prevalence and mortality in patients with cancer and the effect of primary tumour subtype and patient demographics: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Oncol2020;21:1309–16.
- 53. Elkrief A, Desilets A, Papneja N, Cvetkovic L, Groleau C, Lakehal YA, et al. High mortality among hospital-acquired COVID-19 infection in patients with cancer: A multicentre observational cohort study. Eur J Cancer 2020;139:181–7.
- Stroppa EM, Toscani I, Citterio C, Anselmi E, Zaffignani E, Codeluppi M, et al. Coronavirus disease-2019 in cancer patients. A report of the first 25 cancer patients in a western country (Italy). Future Oncol 2020;16:1425–32.
- 55. Yarza R, Bover M, Paredes D, López-López F, Jara-Casas D, Castelo-Loureiro A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in cancer patients undergoing active treatment: analysis of clinical features and predictive factors for severe respiratory failure and death. Eur J Cancer 2020;135:242–50.
- 56. de Melo AC, Thuler LCS, da Silva JL, de Albuquerque LZ, Pecego AC, Rodrigues L de OR, et al. Cancer inpatients with COVID-19: A report from the Brazilian National Cancer Institute. PLos One 2020;15:e0241261.
- 57. Yang K, Sheng Y, Huang C, Jin Y, Xiong N, Jiang K, et al. Clinical characteristics, outcomes, and risk factors for mortality in patients with cancer and COVID-19 in Hubei, China: a multicentre, retrospective, cohort study. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:904–13.
- 58. Tian J, Yuan X, Xiao J, Zhong Q, Yang C, Liu B, et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors associated with COVID-19 disease severity in patients with cancer in Wuhan, China: a multicentre, retrospective, cohort study. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:893– 903.
- 59. Yu J, Ouyang W, Chua MLK, Xie C. SARS-CoV-2 Transmission in Patients With Cancer at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Wuhan, China. JAMA Oncol 2020;6:1108–10.
- 60. Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, Wang W, Li J, Xu K, et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21:335–7.
- 61. Zhang L, Zhu F, Xie L, Wang C, Wang J, Chen R, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: a retrospective case study in three hospitals within Wuhan, China. Ann Oncol 2020;31:894–901.
- 62. Zhang Y, Li M, Gan L, Li B. Analysis of clinical characteristics of 5 tumor patients with coronavirus disease 2019. Guangdong Med J 2020;41.
- 63. Ma J, Yin J, Qian Y, Wu Y. Clinical characteristics and prognosis in cancer patients with COVID-19: A single center's retrospective study. J Infect 2020;81:318–56.

- 64. Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, Jacobson SD, Meyer BJ, Balough EM, et al. Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1763–70.
- 65. Lewnard JA, Liu VX, Jackson ML, Schmidt MA, Jewell BL, Flores JP, et al. Incidence, clinical outcomes, and transmission dynamics of severe coronavirus disease 2019 in California and Washington: Prospective cohort study. BMJ 2020;369:m1923.
- 66. Vardhana SA, Wolchok JD. The many faces of the anti-COVID immune response. J Exp Med 2020;217:e20200678.
- Siddiqi HK, Mehra MR. COVID-19 illness in native and immunosuppressed states: A clinical-therapeutic staging proposal. J Heart Lung Transplant 2020;39:405–7.
- 68. Peravali M, Joshi I, Ahn J, Kim C. A Systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical characteristics and outcomes in patients

with lung cancer with coronavirus disease 2019. JTO Clin Res Rep 2021;2:100141.

- 69. Luo J, Rizvi H, Preeshagul IR, Egger JV, Hoyos D, Bandlamudi C, et al. COVID-19 in patients with lung cancer. Ann Oncol 2020;31:1386–96.
- 70. Venkatesulu BP, Chandrasekar VT, Girdhar P, Advani P, Sharma A, Elumalai T, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of cancer patients affected by a novel coronavirus. medRxiv. 2020 May 29. Doi: 10.1101/2020.05.27.20115303. [Epub ahead of print].
- 71. Wang B, Huang Y. Which type of cancer patients are more susceptible to the SARS-COX-2: Evidence from a meta-analysis and bioinformatics analysis. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2020;153:103032.